arXiv:1410.1495v3 [math.RT] 3 Oct 2016

DUALITY FOR EXT-GROUPS AND EXTENSIONS OF DISCRETE SERIES FOR GRADED HECKE ALGEBRAS

KEI YUEN CHAN

Abstract. In this paper, we study extensions of graded affine Hecke algebra modules. In particular, based on an explicit projective resolution on graded affine Hecke algebra modules, we prove a duality result for Ext-groups. This duality result with an Ind-Res resolution gives an algebraic proof of the fact that all higher Ext-groups between a tempered module and a discrete series vanish.

1. Introduction

1.1. Graded affine Hecke algebras were defined by Lusztig [26] for the study of the representations of affine Hecke algebras and p-adic groups. The relation between affine Hecke algebras and their graded ones can be thought as an analog of the relation between Lie groups and Lie algebras, and so graded affine Hecke algebras are simpler in certain aspects.

The classification of irreducible graded Hecke algebra modules has been studied extensively. A notable result is the Kazhdan-Lusztig geometric classification [23] for equal parameter cases. In arbitrary parameters, general results include the Langlands classification [15] and a classification of discrete series by Opdam-Solleveld [33]. There are also some other classification results [12, 20, 24, 25, 39].

This paper is to study another aspect of graded affine Hecke algebra modules, that is extensions between modules. The extension problem is a natural question after thorough understanding on the classification of irreducible graded Hecke algebra modules. Our goal in this paper is to establish some general results for extensions, and we do not use any explicit classification of simple modules in this paper.

There are some related studies of the extension problem in the literature [2, 9, 21, 28, 32, 34, 35, 36, 37, 40, 41]. While our work is motivated from some known results in the setting of p-adic groups and affine Hecke algebras, our approach is self-contained in the theory of graded affine Hecke algebras. Moreover, because of the algebraic nature of our approach, results apply to the graded Hecke algebra of non-crystallographic types (see [24] and [25]) and complex parameters, which seems to be new in the literature. This extends some results such as the ones in [13] to a general graded Hecke algebra. It may be interesting to consider our approach in some similar algebraic structures such as degenerate affine Hecke-Clifford algebras [29] and graded Hecke algebras for complex reflection groups [38].

1.2. There are two main results in this paper. The first one establishes a duality of Ext-groups. The second one is to apply the duality result with a resolution arisen from Ind-Res functor to compute extensions between a tempered module and a discrete series.

The duality result involves two main ingredients. Let $\mathbb{H}$ be a graded affine Hecke algebra (see Definition 2.1). The first ingredient is an explicit construction of a projective resolution on $\mathbb{H}$-modules, which makes computations possible. The projective resolution is an analogue of the classical Koszul resolution for relative Lie algebra cohomology. The second main ingredient is three operations $*$, $\bullet$, ι on $\mathbb{H}$ (see Section 4.2 and Section 4.5 for the detailed definitions).

Those three operations play some roles in the literature. The first anti-involution $*$ arises naturally from the study of unitary duals for the Hecke algebra of a p-adic group (see [7, 8]). We remark that our definition indeed differs from the one in [7] by a complex conjugate, which is more convenient for our study. The $*$-dual (in our notation) of an $\mathbb{H}$-module indeed corresponds to the contragredient representation in the p-adic group level (see [8, Section 5]). The second anti-involution $\bullet$ is studied in a recent paper of Barbasch-Ciubotaru [4] as an Hecke algebra analogue of the compact-star operation for $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$-modules in [1, 43]. The $\bullet$-operation is also studied by Opdam [31] in the Macdonald theory for affine Hecke algebras. This $\bullet$-operation naturally appears in the content of Iwahori-Hecke algebras via the projective (non-admissible) modules defined by Bernstein (see [5]). The last operation ι on $\mathbb{H}$ is the Iwahori-Masumoto involution, which is shown by Evens-Mirković [16] to have close connection with the geometric Fourier-Deligne transform.

For each of the operations $*, \bullet, \iota$, it induces a map from the set of $\mathbb{H}$-modules to the set of $\mathbb{H}$-modules. For an $\mathbb{H}$-module X, we denote by X^*, $X^\bullet$ and $\iota(X)$ (see Section 4.2 and Section 4.5) for the corresponding dual $\mathbb{H}$-modules respectively. We also define $\mathbb{D}(X) = \iota(X^\bullet)^*$.

Our first main theorem is the following duality on the Ext-groups:

Theorem 1.1. *(Theorem 4.15, Theorem 5.7) Let $\mathbb{H}$ be the graded affine Hecke algebra associated to a root datum $(R, V, R^\vee, V^\vee, \Pi)$ and a parameter function $k : \Pi \to \mathbb{C}$ (Definition 2.1). Let $n = \dim V$. Let X and Y be finite dimensional $\mathbb{H}$-modules. Then we have the following:*

(1) *there exists a natural non-degenerate pairing*

$$\mathrm{Ext}^i_{\mathbb{H}}(X, Y) \times \mathrm{Ext}^{n-i}_{\mathbb{H}}(X^*, \iota(Y)^\bullet) \to \mathbb{C}.$$

(2) *the Yoneda product*

$$\mathscr{Y}_i : \mathrm{Ext}^{n-i}_{\mathbb{H}}(Y, \mathbb{D}(X)) \otimes \mathrm{Ext}^i_{\mathbb{H}}(X, Y) \to \mathrm{Ext}^n_{\mathbb{H}}(X, \mathbb{D}(X))$$

is a nondegenerate pairing.

Here the $\mathrm{Ext}^i_{\mathbb{H}}$-groups are taken in the category of $\mathbb{H}$-modules.

(For some comments on the formulation and the proof of Theorem 1.1, see Remark 4.16.) Theorem 1.1 is an analogue of the Poincaré duality for real reductive groups (see [9, Ch.I Proposition 2.9], [22, Theorem 6.10]). Moreover, statements (1) and (2) are compatible in the sense that there exists a natural linear functional $\mathscr{D}_X : \mathrm{Ext}^n_{\mathbb{H}}(X, \mathbb{D}(X)) \to \mathbb{C}$ such that $\mathscr{D}_X \circ \mathscr{Y}_i$ agrees with the pairing in (1) (see Theorem 5.7(3)).

The second result of this paper is to apply the duality to compute extensions between a tempered module and a discrete series. Those tempered modules and discrete series are defined algebraically in terms of weights (Definition 7.1) and correspond to tempered modules and discrete series of p-adic groups when the parameter function is positive and equal. Since discrete series are basic building blocks of irreducible $\mathbb{H}$-modules, it may be important to first understand the extensions among them. Our second result states that:

Theorem 1.2. *(Theorem 7.4) Let $\mathbb{H}$ be the graded affine Hecke algebra associated to a root datum $(R, V, R^\vee, V^\vee, \Pi)$ and a parameter function $k : \Pi \to \mathbb{C}$ (Definition 2.1). Assume R spans V. Let X be an irreducible tempered module and let Y be an irreducible discrete series (Definition 7.1). Then*

$$\mathrm{Ext}^i_{\mathbb{H}}(X, Y) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{C} & \text{if } X \cong Y \text{ and } i = 0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise .} \end{cases}$$

In other words, discrete series are projective modules in the category of tempered modules. From this, one can establish an upper bound on the number of isomorphism classes of discrete series (Corollary 8.2). This is important in the classification of simple $\mathbb{H}$-modules.

The statement for affine Hecke algebra setting is proven by Opdam-Solleveld [32], and the one for p-adic group setting is proven by Meyer [28], in which they used Schwartz algebras. The method we prove Theorem 1.2 is different from theirs nevertheless. Our strategy makes use of a resolution arisen from the Ind-Res-functors (see Section 6) and also makes use of Theorem 1.1. Thus we provide another point of view for the result. We remark that there is another proof for Theorem 1.2 in the author's thesis [10, Chapter 8], which uses the Langland's classification and properties of parabolically induced modules.

1.3. This paper is organized as follows. Section 1 is the introduction. Section 2 is devoted to set-up basic notations and recall the definition of the graded affine Hecke algebra. Section 3 is to construct an explicit projective resolution for $\mathbb{H}$-modules. Section 4 proves a duality result for Ext-groups by using the resolution in Section 3. Section 5 extends the duality in Section 4 to the level of the Yoneda product. Section 6 studies an Ind-Res resolution and then connects the dual module induced by the involution $\mathbb{D}$ and a dual module induced from the Ind-Res resolution. Section 7 computes the extensions of discrete series by using results in Sections 4 and 6. Section 8 applies the Euler-Poincaré pairing to give applications.

1.4. **Acknowledgments.** The author would like to thank Dan Ciubotaru, Eric Opdam, Gordan Savin and Peter Trapa for many useful discussions. The author would also like to thank Gordan Savin for several useful comments and discussions on the Aubert involution, which makes improvements for this paper. The author would also like to thank the referee for useful comments and suggestions. Part of this paper is from the author's PhD thesis [10]. The author was supported by ERC-advanced grant no. 268105 and the Croucher Postdoctoral Fellowship during the review process.

2. Preliminaries

2.1. **Root systems and basic notations.** Let R be a reduced (not necessarily crystallographic) root system. Let Π be a fixed choice of simple roots in R. Then Π determines the set R^+ of positive roots. Let W be the finite reflection group of R. Let V_0 be a real vector space containing R. (R does not necessarily span V.) For any $\alpha \in \Pi$, let s_α be the simple reflection in W associated to α (i.e. $\alpha \in V_0$ is in the -1-eigenspace of s_α). For $\alpha \in R$, let $\alpha^\vee \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{R}}(V_0, \mathbb{R})$ such that

$$s_\alpha(v) = v - \langle v, \alpha^\vee \rangle \alpha,$$

where $\langle v, \alpha^\vee \rangle = \alpha^\vee(v)$. Let $R^\vee \subset \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{R}}(V_0, \mathbb{R})$ be the collection of all $\alpha^\vee$. Let $V_0^\vee = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{R}}(V_0, \mathbb{R})$.

By extending the scalars, let $V = \mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} V_0$ and let $V^\vee = \mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} V_0^\vee$. We call $(R, V, R^\vee, V^\vee, \Pi)$ to be a root datum.

2.2. **Graded affine Hecke algebras.** Let $k : \Pi \to \mathbb{C}$ be a parameter function such that $k(\alpha) = k(\alpha')$ if α and α' are in the same W-orbit. We shall simply write k_α for $k(\alpha)$.

Definition 2.1. [26, Section 4] The graded affine Hecke algebra $\mathbb{H} = \mathbb{H}(\Pi, k)$ associated to a root datum $(R, V, R^\vee, V^\vee, \Pi)$ and a parameter function k is an associative algebra with an unit over $\mathbb{C}$ generated by the symbols $\{t_w : w \in W\}$ and $\{f_w : w \in V\}$ satisfying the following relations:

(1) The map $w \mapsto t_w$ from $\mathbb{C}[W] = \bigoplus_{w \in W} \mathbb{C}w \to \mathbb{H}$ is an algebra injection,
(2) The map $v \mapsto f_v$ from $S(V) \to \mathbb{H}$ is an algebra injection,

For simplicity, we shall simply write v for f_v from now on.

(3) the generators satisfy the following relation: for $\alpha \in \Pi$ and $v \in V$,

$$t_{s_\alpha} v - s_\alpha(v) t_{s_\alpha} = k_\alpha \langle v, \alpha^\vee \rangle.$$

2.3. $\mathrm{Ext}_{\mathbb{H}}$**-groups and central characters.** Let $Z(\mathbb{H})$ be the center of $\mathbb{H}$. An $\mathbb{H}$-module X is said to have a *central character* if there exists a function $\chi : Z(\mathbb{H}) \to \mathbb{C}$ such that every $z \in Z(\mathbb{H})$ acts by the scalar $\chi(z)$ on X. If X is an irreducible $\mathbb{H}$-module, then X is finite-dimensional and so has a central character by Schur's Lemma.

The center $Z(\mathbb{H})$ of $\mathbb{H}$ is $S(V)^W$ (i.e. the set of W-invariant polynomials) [26, Proposition 4.5]. Suppose X is an $\mathbb{H}$-module with the central character χ. Then there exists a W-orbit O in $V^\vee$ such that $\chi(z) = \gamma(z)$ for any $\gamma \in O$. We shall also say the W-orbit $O = W\gamma$ is the central character of X.

For $\mathbb{H}$-modules X and Y, $\mathrm{Ext}^i_{\mathbb{H}}(X, Y)$ are the higher extensions of X and Y in the category of $\mathbb{H}$-modules. The consideration of central characters will play a crucial role in computing some Ext-groups later. In particular, we shall use the following results later.

Proposition 2.2. *Let X and Y be $\mathbb{H}$-modules. If X and Y have distinct central characters, then $\mathrm{Ext}^i_{\mathbb{H}}(X, Y) = 0$ for all i.*

Proof. See for example [9, Theorem I. 4.1], whose proof can be modified to our setting. □

3. Koszul-type resolution for $\mathbb{H}$-modules

In this section, we construct an explicit projective resolution for graded affine Hecke algebra modules, which is the main tool for proving the duality for Ext-groups in Section 4.

We keep using the notation in Section 2. Let $(R, V, R^\vee, V^\vee, \Pi)$ be a root datum and let W be the real reflection group associated to R. Let $k : \Pi \to \mathbb{C}$ be a parameter function. Let $\mathbb{H}$ be the graded affine Hecke algebra associated to Π and k.

3.1. **Projective objects and injective objects.** In this section, we construct some projective objects and injective objects, which will be used to construct explicit resolutions for $\mathbb{H}$-modules in the next sections. We do not need those injective objects in this paper anyway.

Let X be an $\mathbb{H}$-module and let U be a finite-dimensional $\mathbb{C}[W]$-module. $\mathbb{H}$ acts on the space $\mathbb{H} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[W]} U$ by the left multiplication on the first factor while $\mathbb{H}$ acts on the space $\mathrm{Hom}_W(\mathbb{H}, U)$ by the right translation, explicitly that is for $f \in \mathrm{Hom}_W(\mathbb{H}, U)$, the action of $h' \in \mathbb{H}$ is given by:

$$(h'.f)(h) = f(hh'), \qquad \text{for all } h \in \mathbb{H} .$$

Denote by Res_W the restriction functor from $\mathbb{H}$-modules to $\mathbb{C}[W]$-modules.

Lemma 3.1. *(Frobenius reciprocity) Let X be an $\mathbb{H}$-module. Let U be a $\mathbb{C}[W]$-module. Then*

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{H}}(X, \mathrm{Hom}_W(\mathbb{H}, U)) = \mathrm{Hom}_W(\mathrm{Res}_W X, U),$$

and

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{H}}(\mathbb{H} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[W]} U, X) = \mathrm{Hom}_W(U, \mathrm{Res}_W X).$$

Proof. Let $F : \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{R}(\mathbb{H})}(X, \mathrm{Hom}_W(\mathbb{H}, U)) \to \mathrm{Hom}_W(\mathrm{Res}_W X, U)$ given by

$$(F(f))(x) = (f(x))(1).$$

Let $G : \mathrm{Hom}_W(\mathrm{Res}_W X, U) \to \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{R}(\mathbb{H})}(X, \mathrm{Hom}_W(\mathbb{H}, U))$ given by

$$((G(f)(x))(h) = f(hx).$$

It is straightforward to verify F and G are linear isomorphisms. This proves the second equation. The proof for the second one is similar. □

Lemma 3.2. *Let U be a $\mathbb{C}[W]$-module. Then $\mathbb{H} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[W]} U$ is projective and $\mathrm{Hom}_W(\mathbb{H}, U)$ is injective.*

Proof. We consider $\mathbb{H} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[W]} U$. Every $\mathbb{C}[W]$-module is projective and so $\mathrm{Hom}_W(U, .)$ is an exact functor. The functor Res_W is also exact. Thus we have $\mathrm{Hom}_W(U, \mathrm{Res}_W .)$, which is the composition of the two functors $\mathrm{Hom}_W(U, .)$ and Res_W, is also exact. Hence by the Frobenius reciprocity, we also have $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{H}}(\mathbb{H} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[W]} U, .)$ is exact. Thus $\mathbb{H} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[W]} U$ is projective. The proof for $\mathrm{Hom}_W(\mathbb{H}, U)$ being injective is similar. □

3.2. **Koszul-type resolution on $\mathbb{H}$-modules.** Let X be an $\mathbb{H}$-module. Define a sequence of $\mathbb{H}$-maps d_i as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \to \mathbb{H} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[W]} (\mathrm{Res}_W X \otimes \wedge^n V) &\overset{d_{n-1}}{\to} \ldots \overset{d_i}{\to} \mathbb{H} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[W]} (\mathrm{Res}_W X \otimes \wedge^i V) \\ &\overset{d_{i-1}}{\to} \ldots \overset{d_0}{\to} \mathbb{H} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[W]} (\mathrm{Res}_W X) \overset{\epsilon}{\to} X \to 0 \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

such that $\epsilon : \mathbb{H} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[W]} \mathrm{Res}_W X \to X$ given by

$$\epsilon(h \otimes x) = h.x$$

and for $i \geq 1$, $d_i : \mathbb{H} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[W]} (\mathrm{Res}_W X \otimes \wedge^{i+1} V) \to \mathbb{H} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[W]} (\mathrm{Res}_W X \otimes \wedge^i V)$ given by

$$\begin{aligned} &d_i(h \otimes (x \otimes v_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge v_{i+1})) \\ =&\sum_{j=1}^{i+1} (-1)^{j+1} (hv_j \otimes x \otimes v_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge \widehat{v}_j \wedge \ldots \wedge v_{i+1} - h \otimes v_j.x \otimes v_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge \widehat{v}_j \wedge \ldots \wedge v_{i+1}). \end{aligned}$$

If we want to emphasize the role of X, we shall write $d_{i,X}$ for d_i. We also write d_{-1} for ϵ. In priori, we do not know d_i is a well-defined $\mathbb{H}$-map, but we prove in the following:

Lemma 3.3. *The above d_i are well-defined $\mathbb{H}$-maps and $d^2 = 0$ i.e. (3.1) is a well-defined complex.*

Proof. We proceed by induction on i. It is easy to see that ϵ is well-defined. For convenience, we set $d_{-1} = \epsilon$. We now assume $i \geq 0$. To show d_i is independent of the choice of a representative in $\mathbb{H} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[W]} (\mathrm{Res}_W X \otimes \wedge^{i+1} V)$, the only non-trivial thing is to show

$$d_i(t_w \otimes (x \otimes v_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge v_{i+1})) = d_i(1 \otimes (t_w.x \otimes w(v_1) \wedge \ldots \wedge w(v_{i+1}))). \tag{3.2}$$

For simplicity, set

$$\begin{aligned} P^w &= d_i(t_w \otimes (x \otimes v_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge v_{i+1})) \\ &= t_w \sum_{j=1}^{i+1} (-1)^{j+1} (v_j \otimes (x \otimes v_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge \widehat{v}_j \wedge \ldots \wedge v_{i+1}) - 1 \otimes (v_j.x \otimes v_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge \widehat{v}_j \wedge \ldots \wedge v_{i+1})) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} P_w &= d_i(1 \otimes (t_w.x \otimes w(v_1) \wedge \ldots \wedge w(v_{i+1})) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{i+1} (-1)^{j+1} w(v_j) \otimes (t_w.x \otimes w(v_1) \wedge \ldots \wedge \widehat{w(v_j)} \wedge \ldots \wedge w(v_{i+1})) \\ &\quad - \sum_{j=1}^{i+1} (-1)^{j+1} \otimes (w(v_j) t_w.x \otimes w(v_1) \wedge \ldots \wedge \widehat{w(v_j)} \wedge \ldots \wedge w(v_{i+1}) \end{aligned}$$

To show the equation (3.2), it is equivalent to show $P^w = P_w$. Regard $\mathbb{C}[W]$ as a natural subalgebra of $\mathbb{H}$. By using the fact that $t_w v - w(v) t_w \in \mathbb{C}[W]$ for $w \in W$, $P^w - P_w$ is an element of the form $1 \otimes u$ for some $u \in \mathrm{Res}_W X \otimes \wedge^i V$. Thus it suffices to show that $u = 0$. To this end, a direct computation (from the original expressions of P^w and

P_w) shows that $d_{i-1}(P^w - P_w) = 0$. By induction hypothesis, d_{i-1} is well-defined and so $d_{i-1}(1 \otimes u) = d_{i-1}(P^w - P_w) = 0$. Write $1 \otimes u$ of the form

$$1 \otimes u = \sum_{1 \leq r_1 < \ldots < r_i \leq n} 1 \otimes (x_{r_1,\ldots,r_i}) \otimes e_{r_1} \wedge \ldots \wedge e_{r_i}, \tag{3.3}$$

where $x_{r_1,\ldots,r_i} \in \mathrm{Res}_W X$ and $e_1, \ldots, e_n$ is a fixed basis of V. By a direct computation of $d_{i-1}(1 \otimes u)$ from the expression (3.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & d_{i-1}(1 \otimes u) \\ = & \sum_{1 \leq r_1 < \ldots < r_i \leq n} \sum_{j=1}^{i} (-1)^{j+1} e_{r_j} \otimes (x_{r_1,\ldots,r_i}) \otimes e_{r_1} \wedge \ldots \wedge \widehat{e}_{r_j} \wedge \ldots \wedge e_{r_i}) \\ & - \sum_{1 \leq r_1 < \ldots < r_i \leq n} \sum_{j=1}^{i} (-1)^{j+1} 1 \otimes e_{r_j}.(x_{r_1,\ldots,r_i}) \otimes e_{r_1} \wedge \ldots \wedge \widehat{e}_{r_j} \wedge \ldots \wedge e_{r_i}) \end{aligned}$$

We have seen that $d_{i-1}(1 \otimes u) = 0$ and so $u = 0$ by using linearly independence arguments.

Verifying $d^2 = 0$ is straightforward. □

Theorem 3.4. (1) *For any $\mathbb{H}$-module X, the complex (3.1) forms a projective resolution for X.*

(2) *The global dimension of $\mathbb{H}$ is $\dim V$.*

Proof. From Lemma 3.3, it remains to show the exactness for (1). This can be proven by an argument which imposes a filtration on $\mathbb{H}$ and uses a long exact sequence (see for example [17, Section 5.3.8] or [22, Chapter IV Section 6]). We provide some detail. Let $\mathbb{H}^r$ be the (vector) subspace of $\mathbb{H}$ spanned by the elements of the form

$$t_w v_1^{n_1} \ldots v_l^{n_l} \quad \text{for } w \in W,\ v_1, \ldots, v_l \in V\ ,$$

with $n_1 + n_2 + \ldots + n_l \leq r$. Note that $\mathbb{H}^r$ is still (left and right) invariant under the action of W. Let

$$\mathbb{E}^{r,s} = \mathbb{H}^r \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[W]} (\mathrm{Res}_W X \otimes \wedge^s V).$$

Then the differential d_{s-1} defines a map from $\mathbb{E}^{r,s}$ to $\mathbb{E}^{r+1,s-1}$. For convenience, also set $\mathbb{E}^{r+s+1,-1} = X$ and there is a map from $\mathbb{E}^{r+s,0}$ to X and $d_{-1} = \epsilon$. Then for a positive integer p, we denote by $\mathcal{E}(p)$ the complex $\{\mathbb{E}^{r,s}, d_{s-1}\}_{r+s=p, s \geq -1}$. We now define a graded structure. Let

$$\mathbb{F}^{r,s} = \mathbb{E}^{r,s} / \mathbb{E}^{r-1,s}.$$

and let $\overline{d}_{r,s} : \mathbb{F}^{r,s} \to \mathbb{F}^{r+1,s-1}$ be the induced map from d_{s-1}. Then $\left\{\mathbb{F}^{r,s}, \overline{d}_{r,s}\right\}_{r+s=p}$ forms a complex for each p. Denote by $\mathcal{F}(p)$ for such complex. In fact, $\mathcal{F}(p)$ forms a standard Koszul complex and hence the homology $H^i(\mathcal{F}(p)) = 0$ for all i.

Now consider the following short exact sequences of the chain of complexes for $p \geq 1$:



The vertical map from $\mathbb{E}^{p-s-1,s}$ to $\mathbb{E}^{p-s,s}$ is the natural inclusion map. Then we have the associated long exact sequence:

$$\ldots \to H^{k+1}(\mathcal{F}(p)) \to H^k(\mathcal{E}(p-1)) \to H^k(\mathcal{E}(p)) \to H^k(\mathcal{F}(p)) \to \ldots$$

Since $H^k(\mathcal{F}(p)) = H^{k+1}(\mathcal{F}(p)) = 0$, $H^k(\mathcal{E}(p-1)) \cong H^k(\mathcal{E}(p))$. It remains to see $H^k(\mathcal{E}(0)) = 0$ for all k, but it follows from definitions.

We now prove (2). By (1), the global dimension of $\mathbb{H}$ is less than or equal to $\dim V$. It remains to prove the global dimension attains the upper bound. Let $\gamma \in V^\vee$ be a regular element and let v_γ be a vector with weight $\gamma \in V^\vee$. Define $X = \mathrm{Ind}_{S(V)}^{\mathbb{H}} \mathbb{C}v_\gamma$. By Frobenius reciprocity and using γ is regular, $\mathrm{Ext}^i_{\mathbb{H}}(X, X) = \mathrm{Ext}^i_{S(V)}(\mathbb{C}v_\gamma, \mathbb{C}v_\gamma) \neq 0$ for all $i \leq \dim V$. This shows the global dimension has to be $\dim V$. □

3.3. **Alternate form of the Koszul-type resolution.** In this section, we give another form of the differential map d_i, which involves the terms $\widetilde{v}$ defined in (3.4) below. There are some advantages for computations later.

For $v \in V$, we define the following element in $\mathbb{H}$:

$$\widetilde{v} \quad = v - \tfrac{1}{2} \textstyle\sum_{\alpha \in R^+} k_\alpha \langle v, \alpha^\vee \rangle t_{s_\alpha}. \tag{3.4}$$

This element is used by Drinfield [14] for the study of Yangians and also used by Barbasch-Ciubotaru-Trapa [6] for the Dirac cohomology for graded affine Hecke algebras. An important property of the element is the following, which can be proved by an induction on the length of $w \in W$:

Lemma 3.5. [6, Proposition 2.10] *For any $w \in W$ and $v \in V$, $t_w \widetilde{v} = \widetilde{w(v)} t_w$.*

We consider the maps $\widetilde{d}_i : \mathbb{H} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[W]} (\mathrm{Res}_W X \otimes \wedge^{i+1} V) \to \mathbb{H} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[W]} (\mathrm{Res}_W X \otimes \wedge^i V)$ as follows:

$$\widetilde{d}_i(h \otimes (x \otimes v_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge v_{i+1})) \tag{3.5}$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{i+1} (-1)^{j+1} \left(h\widetilde{v}_j \otimes x \otimes v_1 \wedge \ldots \widehat{v}_j \ldots \wedge v_i - h \otimes \widetilde{v}_j . x \otimes v_1 \wedge \ldots \widehat{v}_j \ldots \wedge v_{i+1} \right). \tag{3.6}$$

This definition indeed coincides with the one in the previous subsection:

Proposition 3.6. $\widetilde{d}_i = d_i$.

Proof. Recall that for $v \in V$,

$$\widetilde{v} = v - \frac{1}{2}\sum_{\alpha\in R^+} k_\alpha\langle v, \alpha^\vee\rangle t_{s_\alpha}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
& \widetilde{v}_r \otimes (x \otimes v_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge \widehat{v}_r \wedge \ldots \wedge v_{i+1}) - 1 \otimes (\widetilde{v}_r.x \otimes v_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge \widehat{v}_r \wedge \ldots \wedge v_{i+1}) \\
= \;& v_r \otimes (x \otimes v_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge \widehat{v}_r \wedge \ldots \wedge v_{i+1}) - 1 \otimes (v_r.x \otimes v_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge \widehat{v}_r \wedge \ldots \wedge v_{i+1}) \\
& -\frac{1}{2}\sum_{\alpha\in R^+} k_\alpha\langle v_r, \alpha^\vee\rangle \otimes (t_{s_\alpha}.x) \otimes s_\alpha(v_1) \wedge \ldots \wedge s_\alpha(\widehat{v}_r) \wedge \ldots \wedge s_\alpha(v_{i+1}) \\
& +\frac{1}{2}\sum_{\alpha\in R^+} k_\alpha\langle v_r, \alpha^\vee\rangle \otimes (t_{s_\alpha}.x) \otimes v_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge \widehat{v}_r \wedge \ldots \wedge v_{i+1} \\
= \;& v_r \otimes (x \otimes v_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge \widehat{v}_r \wedge \ldots \wedge v_{i+1}) - 1 \otimes (v_r.x \otimes v_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge \widehat{v}_r \wedge \ldots \wedge v_{i+1}) \\
& -\frac{1}{2}\sum_{\alpha\in R^+}\sum_{p<r}(-1)^p k_\alpha\langle v_r, \alpha^\vee\rangle\langle v_p, \alpha^\vee\rangle \otimes (t_{s_\alpha}.x) \otimes \alpha \wedge s_\alpha(v_1) \wedge \ldots s_\alpha(\widehat{v}_p) \wedge \ldots s_\alpha(\widehat{v}_r) \wedge \ldots \wedge s_\alpha(v_{i+1}) \\
& -\frac{1}{2}\sum_{\alpha\in R^+}\sum_{r<p}(-1)^{p-1} k_\alpha\langle v_r, \alpha^\vee\rangle\langle v_p, \alpha^\vee\rangle \otimes (t_{s_\alpha}.x) \otimes \alpha \wedge s_\alpha(v_1) \wedge \ldots s_\alpha(\widehat{v}_r) \wedge \ldots s_\alpha(\widehat{v}_p) \wedge \ldots \wedge s_\alpha(v_{i+1})
\end{aligned}$$

The second equality follows from the expression of $\widetilde{v}_r$. Taking the alternating sum of the above expression with some standard computations can verify $\widetilde{d}_i = d_i$. □

3.4. **Complex for computing** Ext**-groups.** We now use the resolution in Section 3.2 to construct a complex for computing Ext-groups. Let X and Y be $\mathbb{H}$-modules.

Then taking the $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{H}}(., Y)$ functor on the projective resolution of X as the one in (3.1), we have the induced maps

$$d_i^\vee : \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{H}}(\mathbb{H} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[W]} (\mathrm{Res}_W X \otimes^i V), Y) \to \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{H}}(\mathbb{H} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[W} (\mathrm{Res}_W X \otimes^{i+1} V), Y).$$

We write $d_{i,X}^{\vee,Y}$ for $d_i^\vee$ if we need to emphasize the roles of X and Y. Using Frobenius reciprocity, we have the induced maps,

$$d_{i,W}^\vee : \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{H}}(\mathrm{Res}_W X \otimes \wedge^i V, \mathrm{Res}_W Y) \to \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{H}}(\mathrm{Res}_W X \otimes \wedge^{i+1} V, \mathrm{Res}_W Y).$$

We again write $d_{i,X,W}^{\vee,Y}$ for $d_{i,W}^\vee$ if we need to emphasize the roles of X and Y. Then by using the Frobenius reciprocity, we have an induced complex

$$\begin{aligned}
0 \leftarrow \mathrm{Hom}_W(\mathrm{Res}_W X \otimes \wedge^n V, \mathrm{Res}_W Y) \overset{d_{n-1,W}^\vee}{\leftarrow} \ldots \overset{d_{i,W}^\vee}{\leftarrow} \mathrm{Hom}_W(\mathrm{Res}_W X \otimes \wedge^i V, \mathrm{Res}_W Y) \\
\overset{d_{i-1,W}^\vee}{\leftarrow} \ldots \overset{d_{0,W}^\vee}{\leftarrow} \mathrm{Hom}_W(\mathrm{Res}_W X, \mathrm{Res}_W Y) \leftarrow 0,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

where the map $d^{\vee}_{i,W}$ can be explicitly written as:

$$d^{\vee}_{i,W}(\eta)(x\otimes v_1\wedge\cdots\wedge v_{i+1}) = \sum_{j=1}^{i+1}(-1)^{j+1}\widetilde{v}_j.\eta(x\otimes v_1\wedge\ldots\wedge\widehat{v}_j\wedge\ldots\wedge v_{i+1}) \\ -\sum_{j=1}^{i+1}(-1)^{j+1}\eta(\widetilde{v}_j.x\otimes v_1\wedge\ldots\wedge\widehat{v}_j\wedge\ldots\wedge v_{i+1}),$$

where the action of $\widetilde{v}_j$ on the term $\eta(x\otimes v_1\wedge\ldots\wedge\widehat{v}_j\wedge\ldots\wedge v_{i+1})$ is via the action of $\widetilde{v}_j$ on Y and the action of $\widetilde{v}_j$ on the term x is via the action of $\widetilde{v}_j$ on X.

Thus we obtain the following:

Proposition 3.7. *Let X and Y be $\mathbb{H}$-modules. Then $\mathrm{Ext}^i_{\mathbb{H}}(X,Y)$ is naturally isomorphic to the i-th homology of the complex in (3.7).*

An immediate consequence is the following:

Corollary 3.8. *Let X and Y be finite-dimensional $\mathbb{H}$-modules. Then*

$$\mathrm{dim}\mathrm{Ext}^i_{\mathbb{H}}(X,Y)<\infty.$$

It is not hard to see that $d^{\vee}_{i,W}$ can be naturally extended to a map from $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathrm{Res}_W X\otimes\wedge^i V,\mathrm{Res}_W Y)$ to $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathrm{Res}_W X\otimes\wedge^{i+1}V,\mathrm{Res}_W Y)$. We denote the map by $\overline{d}^{\vee}_i$, which will be used in Section 4.4.

4. Duality for Ext-groups

In this section, we prove a duality result for the Ext-groups of graded affine Hecke algebra modules, which is an analogue of some classical dualities such as Poincaré duality or Serre duality (also see Poincaré duality for real reductive groups in [22, Theorem 6.10]).

We keep using the notation from Section 3.

4.1. **θ-action and θ-dual.** We define an involution θ on $\mathbb{H}$ in this section. This θ is not needed in stating the duality result (Theorem 4.15), but it closely relates to the $*$ and $\bullet$ operations defined in the next section.

Let w_0 be the longest element in W. Let θ be an involution on $\mathbb{H}$ characterized by

$$\theta(v)=-w_0(v)\text{ for any }v\in V,\text{ and }\quad\theta(t_w)=t_{w_0ww_0^{-1}}\text{ for any }w\in W, \tag{4.8}$$

where w_0 acts on v as the action on the reflection representation of W. Since $\theta(\Pi)=\Pi$, $\langle .,.\rangle$ is W-invariant and $k_\alpha=k_{\theta(\alpha)}$ for any $\alpha\in\Pi$, it is straightforward to verify θ defines an automorphism on $\mathbb{H}$.

Note that θ also induces an action on $V^\vee$, still denoted θ. For $\alpha\in R$, since $w_0(\alpha^\vee)=w_0(\alpha)^\vee$, we also have $\theta(\alpha^\vee)=\theta(\alpha)^\vee$.

Recall that for $v\in V$, $\widetilde{v}$ is defined in (3.4). The following lemma follows from the definitions.

Lemma 4.1. *For any $v\in V$, $\theta(\widetilde{v})=\widetilde{\theta(v)}$.*

Definition 4.2. For an $\mathbb{H}$-module X, define $\theta(X)$ to be the $\mathbb{H}$-module such that $\theta(X)$ is isomorphic to X as vector spaces and the $\mathbb{H}$-action is determined by:

$$\pi_{\theta(X)}(h)x = \pi_X(\theta(h))x,$$

where π_X and $\pi_{\theta(X)}$ are the maps defining the action of $\mathbb{H}$ on X and $\theta(X)$ respectively.

4.2. ***-dual and $\bullet$-dual.** In this section, we study two anti-involutions on $\mathbb{H}$. These two anti-involutions are studied in [4], but we make a slight variation for our need. More precisely, those anti-involutions are linear rather than Hermitian-linear. The linearity will make some construction easier. For instance, it is easier to make the identification of spaces in Section 4.4.

Define $* : \mathbb{H} \to \mathbb{H}$ to be the linear anti-involution determined by

$$v^* = t_{w_0}\theta(v)t_{w_0}^{-1} \quad \text{for } v \in V, \quad t_w^* = t_w^{-1} \quad \text{for } w \in W \ .$$

Define $\bullet : \mathbb{H} \to \mathbb{H}$ to be another linear anti-involution determined by

$$v^\bullet = v \quad \text{for } v \in V \ , \quad t_w^\bullet = t_w^{-1} \quad \text{for } w \in W \ .$$

Definition 4.3. Let X be an $\mathbb{H}$-module. A map $f : X \to \mathbb{C}$ is said to be a linear functional if $f(\lambda x_1 + x_2) = \lambda f(x_1) + f(x_2)$ for any $x_1, x_2 \in X$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. The *$*$-dual of X*, denoted by X^*, is the space of linear functionals of X with the action of $\mathbb{H}$ determined by

$$(h.f)(x) = f(h^*.x) \quad \text{for any } x \in X \ . \tag{4.9}$$

We similarly define *$\bullet$-dual* of X, denoted by $X^\bullet$, by replacing h^* with $h^\bullet$ in equation (4.9).

When X is a finite-dimensional $\mathbb{H}$-module, we have $(X^*)^* = X$ and $(X^\bullet)^\bullet = X$.

Lemma 4.4. *Let X be an $\mathbb{H}$-module. Define a bilinear pairing $\langle , \rangle_X^* : X^* \times X \to \mathbb{C}$ (resp. ${}^\bullet\langle , \rangle_X : X^\bullet \times X \to \mathbb{C}$) such that $\langle f, x\rangle_X^* = f(x)$ (resp. ${}^\bullet\langle f, x\rangle_X = f(x)$). (We reserve $\langle , \rangle_X^\bullet$ for the use of another pairing later.) Then*

1. *for $v \in V$, $\langle \widetilde{v}.f, x\rangle_X^* = \langle f, -\widetilde{v}.x\rangle_X^*$ (resp. ${}^\bullet\langle \widetilde{v}.f, x\rangle_X = {}^\bullet\langle f, \widetilde{v}.x\rangle_X$),*
2. *for $w \in W$, $\langle t_w.f, x\rangle_X^* = \langle f, t_w^{-1}.x\rangle_X^*$ (resp. ${}^\bullet\langle t_w.f, x\rangle_X = {}^\bullet\langle f, t_w^{-1}.x\rangle_X$),*
3. *$\langle , \rangle_X^*$ (resp. ${}^\bullet\langle , \rangle_X$) is non-degenerate.*

Proof. We first consider the $*$-operation. Note that $(\widetilde{v})^* = t_{w_0}\theta(\widetilde{v})t_{w_0}^{-1} = \widetilde{w_0\theta(v)} = -\widetilde{v}$, where the second equality follows from Lemma 3.5 and Lemma 4.1. This implies (1). Other assertions follow immediately from the definitions.

For the $\bullet$-operation, we have $(\widetilde{v})^\bullet = \widetilde{v}$ from the definitions. This implies (1). Other assertions again follow from the definitions. □

Lemma 4.5. *Let X be an $\mathbb{H}$-module. Then $X^* \cong \theta(X)^\bullet$.*

Proof. We define a map $F : \theta(X)^\bullet \to X^*$, $f \mapsto t_{w_0}^{-1}.f$ (where t_{w_0} acts on f by the action of $\mathbb{H}$ on $\theta(X)^\bullet$. In order to distinguish the action of $\mathbb{H}$ on the modules X^* and $\theta(X)^\bullet$, we use the $\pi_{X^*} : \mathbb{H} \to \mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(X^*)$ and $\pi_{\theta(X)^\bullet} : \mathbb{H} \to \mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(\theta(X)^\bullet)$ to denote the corresponding

actions of $\mathbb{H}$ respectively. Now it is straightforward to verify: for $w \in W$,

$$\begin{aligned} F(\pi_{X^*}(t_w)f)(x) &= (\pi_{\theta(X)^\bullet}(t_{w_0})\pi_{X^*}(t_w)f)(x) \\ &= f(t_{w_0}t_w.x) \\ &= (\pi_{\theta(X)^\bullet}(t_w)\pi_{\theta(X)^\bullet}(t_{w_0})f)(x) \\ &= (\pi_{\theta(X)^\bullet}(t_w)F(f))(x) \end{aligned}$$ □

4.3. **Pairing for $\wedge^i V$ and $\wedge^{n-i}V$.** Fix an ordered basis $e_1, \ldots, e_n$ for V. We define a non-degenerate bilinear pairing $\langle , \rangle_{\wedge^i V}$ as

$$\wedge^i V \times \wedge^{n-i} V \to \mathbb{C}$$

determined by

$$\langle v_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge v_i, v_{i+1} \wedge \ldots \wedge v_n \rangle_{\wedge^i V} = \det(v_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge v_n),$$

where det is the determinant function for the fixed ordered basis $e_1, \ldots, e_n$.

Define $(\wedge^i V)^\vee$ to be the dual space of $\wedge^i V$. For $\omega \in \wedge^{n-i}V$, define $\phi_\omega \in (\wedge^i V)^\vee$ by

$$\phi_\omega(\omega') = \langle \omega, \omega' \rangle_{\wedge^{n-i}V} \tag{4.10}$$

By using $\det(w(v_1) \wedge \ldots \wedge w(v_n)) = \mathrm{sgn}(w) \det(v_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge v_n)$ for any $w \in W$, we see the map $\omega \mapsto \phi_\omega$ from $\wedge^{n-i}V$ to $(\wedge^i V)^\vee$ defines a W-representation isomorphism from $\wedge^{n-i}V$ to $\mathrm{sgn} \otimes (\wedge^i V)^\vee$.

We also define a pairing $\langle , \rangle_{(\wedge^{n-i}V)^\vee} : (\wedge^{n-i}V)^\vee \times (\wedge^i V)^\vee \to \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$\langle \phi_\omega, \phi_{\omega'} \rangle_{(\wedge^{n-i}V)^\vee} = \langle \omega, \omega' \rangle_{\wedge^i V},$$

where $\omega \in \wedge^i V$ and $\omega' \in \wedge^{n-i}V$. By the definitions, we have the following two lemmas:

Lemma 4.6. *For $\omega \in \wedge^i V$ and $\omega' \in \wedge^{n-i}V$,*

$$\langle \phi_\omega, \phi_{\omega'} \rangle_{(\wedge^{n-i}V)^\vee} = \langle \omega, \omega' \rangle_{\wedge^i V} = \phi_\omega(\omega').$$

Lemma 4.7. *For any $w \in W$,*

$$\langle w.\phi_{v_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge v_i}, w.\phi_{v_{i+1} \wedge \ldots \wedge v_n} \rangle_{(\wedge^{n-i}V)^\vee} = \mathrm{sgn}(w) \langle \phi_{v_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge v_i}, \phi_{v_{i+1} \wedge \ldots \wedge v_n} \rangle_{(\wedge^{n-i}V)^\vee}.$$

Proof. As noted above, for any $w \in W$,

$$w.\phi_{v_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge v_i} = \mathrm{sgn}(w)\phi_{w.(v_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge v_i)}, \quad w.\phi_{v_{i+1} \wedge \ldots \wedge v_n} = \mathrm{sgn}(w)\phi_{w.(v_{i+1} \wedge \ldots \wedge v_n)}.$$

The lemma then follows from straightforward computations. □

4.4. **Complexes involving duals.** Let X and Y be finite dimensional $\mathbb{H}$-modules. It is well-known that there is a natural identification between the spaces $\mathrm{Hom}_W(\mathrm{Res}_W X \otimes \wedge^i V, \mathrm{Res}_W Y)$ and $(X^* \otimes Y \otimes (\wedge^i V)^\vee)^W$ (or $(X^\bullet \otimes Y \otimes (\wedge^i V)^\vee)^W$). Here we consider a natural W-action on $X^* \otimes Y \otimes (\wedge^i V)^\vee$, and $(X^* \otimes Y \otimes (\wedge^i V)^\vee)^W$ is the W-invariant space. For notational simplicity, we may simply write X for $\mathrm{Res}_W X$ and also sometimes regard X as a vector space, which should be clear from the context.

In order to prove Theorem 4.15 later, we need to construct some pairings, which will be more convenient to be done for the spaces $(X^* \otimes Y \otimes (\wedge^i V)^\vee)^W$ (or $(X^\bullet \otimes Y \otimes (\wedge^i V)^\vee)^W$).

The goal of this section is to translate the differential maps $d_i^\vee$ in Section 3.4 into the corresponding maps for $(X^* \otimes Y \otimes (\wedge^i V)^\vee)^W$.

We define a (linear) map $\overline{D}_i : X^* \otimes Y \otimes (\wedge^i V)^\vee \to X^* \otimes Y \otimes (\wedge^{i+1} V)^\vee$ on the complex, which is determined by

$$\begin{aligned} &\overline{D}_i(f \otimes y \otimes \phi_{v_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge v_{n-i}}) \\ =&\sum_{j=1}^{n-i} (-1)^{j+1} (f \otimes \widetilde{v}_j.y \otimes \phi_{v_1 \wedge \ldots \widehat{v_j} \ldots \wedge v_{n-i}} + \widetilde{v}_j.f \otimes y \otimes \phi_{v_1 \wedge \ldots \widehat{v_j} \ldots \wedge v_{n-i}}), \end{aligned} \tag{4.11}$$

for $f \otimes y \otimes \phi_{v_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge v_{n-i}} \in X^* \otimes Y \otimes (\wedge^i V)^\vee$, where $\widetilde{v}_j$ acts on f by the action on X^* and $\widetilde{v}_j$ acts on y by the action on Y.

Note that there is a natural W-action on $X^* \otimes Y \otimes (\wedge^i V)^\vee$ (from the W-action of X^*, Y and V). Such W action commutes with $\overline{D}_i$ and so $\overline{D}_i$ sends $(X^* \otimes Y \otimes (\wedge^i V)^\vee)^W$ to $(X^* \otimes Y \otimes (\wedge^{i+1} V)^\vee)^W$. Then the map $\overline{D}_i$ gives rise to the following map:

$$D_i : (X^* \otimes Y \otimes (\wedge^i V)^\vee)^W \to (X^* \otimes Y \otimes (\wedge^{i+1} V)^\vee)^W$$

If we want to emphasize the complexes that $\overline{D}_i$ or D_i refer to, we shall write $\overline{D}_{X^* \otimes Y \otimes (\wedge^i V)^\vee}$ for $\overline{D}_i$ and $D_{(X^* \otimes Y \otimes (\wedge^i V)^\vee)^W}$ for D_i. In the priori, we do not have $D^2 = 0$, but we will soon prove it in Lemma 4.8.

We define another map $\overline{D_i^\bullet} : X^\bullet \otimes Y \otimes (\wedge^i V)^\vee \to X^\bullet \otimes Y \otimes (\wedge^{i+1} V)^\vee$ determined by:

$$\begin{aligned} &\overline{D_i^\bullet}(f \otimes y \otimes \phi_{v_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge v_{n-i}}) \\ =&\sum_{j=1}^{n-i} (-1)^{j+1} (f \otimes \widetilde{v}_j.y \otimes \phi_{v_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge \widehat{v_j} \wedge \ldots \wedge v_{n-i}} - \widetilde{v}_j.f \otimes y \otimes \phi_{v_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge \widehat{v_j} \wedge \ldots \wedge v_{n-i}}), \end{aligned}$$

Similar to $\overline{D}_i$, the restriction of $\overline{D_i^\bullet}$ to $(X^\bullet \otimes Y \otimes (\wedge^i V)^\vee)^W$ has image in $(X^\bullet \otimes Y \otimes (\wedge^{i+1} V)^\vee)^W$. Denote by $D_i^\bullet$ the restriction of $\overline{D_i^\bullet}$ to $(X^\bullet \otimes Y \otimes (\wedge^i V)^\vee)^W$.

Define a linear isomorphism $\overline{\Psi} : X^* \otimes Y \otimes (\wedge^i V)^\vee \to \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(X \otimes \wedge^i V, Y)$ as follows, where we also regard X and Y as vector spaces: for $f \otimes y \otimes \phi_{v_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge v_{n-i}} \in X^* \otimes Y \otimes (\wedge^i V)^\vee$ has the action given by: for $f \otimes y \otimes \phi_{v_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge v_{n-i}} \in X^* \otimes Y \otimes (\wedge^i V)^\vee$,

$$\overline{\Psi}(f \otimes y \otimes \phi_{v_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge v_{n-i}})(x \otimes u_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge u_i) = f(x) \phi_{v_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge v_{n-l}}(u_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge u_i) y \in Y$$

for $x \otimes u_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge u_i \in X \otimes \wedge^i V$. The map $\overline{\Psi}$ indeed depends on i, but we shall suppress the index i. By taking restriction on the space $(X^* \otimes Y \otimes (\wedge^i V)^\vee))^W$, we obtain the linear isomorphism:

$$\Psi : (X^* \otimes Y \otimes (\wedge^i V)^\vee)^W \to \mathrm{Hom}_W(X \otimes \wedge^i V, Y).$$

Since X^* and $X^\bullet$ can be naturally identified as vector spaces, the maps $\overline{\Psi}$ and Ψ are also defined for the corresponding spaces involving $\bullet$ instead of $*$.

Recall that $d_i^\vee$ and $\overline{d}_i^\vee$ are defined in Section 3.4.

Lemma 4.8. *Let X and Y be $\mathbb{H}$-modules. Then*

1. *For any $\omega \in X^* \otimes Y \otimes (\wedge^i V)^\vee$, $\overline{\Psi}(\overline{D}_i(\omega)) = (-1)^{n-i+1} \overline{d}_{i,W}^\vee(\overline{\Psi}(\omega))$.*
2. *For any $\omega \in X^\bullet \otimes Y \otimes (\wedge^i V)^\vee$, $\overline{\Psi}(\overline{D_i^\bullet}(\omega)) = (-1)^{n-i+1} \overline{d}_{i,W}^\vee(\overline{\Psi}(\omega))$.*

Proof. Recall that $e_1, \ldots, e_n$ be the fixed basis for V. Let $\omega = f \otimes y \otimes \phi_{e_{k_1} \wedge \ldots \wedge e_{k_{n-i}}} \in X^* \otimes Y \otimes (\wedge^i V)^\vee$. By linearity, it suffices to check that

$$\overline{\Psi}(\overline{D}_i(\omega))(x \otimes e_{k'_1} \wedge \ldots \wedge e_{k'_{i+1}}) = (-1)^{n-i+1} \overline{d}^\vee_{i,W}(\overline{\Psi}(\omega))(x \otimes e_{k'_1} \wedge \ldots \wedge e_{k'_{i+1}})$$

for any $x \in X$ and any indices $k'_1, \ldots, k'_{i+1} \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$.

Suppose $|\{k_1, \ldots, k_{n-i}\} \cap \{k'_1, \ldots, k'_{i+1}\}| \geq 2$. By Lemma 4.6,

$$\overline{d}^\vee_i \overline{\Psi}(\omega)(x \otimes e_{k'_1} \wedge \ldots \wedge e_{k'_{i+1}}) = 0 = \overline{\Psi}(\overline{D}_i \omega)(x \otimes e_{k'_1} \wedge \ldots \wedge e_{k'_{i+1}})$$

Suppose $|\{k_1, \ldots, k_{n-i}\} \cap \{k'_1, \ldots, k'_{i+1}\}| = 1$. Let k_p and k'_q be the unique pair of indices such that $e_{k_p} = e_{k'_q}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
&\overline{d}^\vee_{i,W} \overline{\Psi}(\omega)(x \otimes e_{k'_1} \wedge \ldots \wedge e_{k'_{i+1}}) \\
=&\overline{\Psi}(\omega)(d_{i,W}(x \otimes e_{k'_1} \wedge \ldots \wedge e_{k'_{i+1}})) \\
=&(-1)^{q+1} f(x) \phi_{e_{k_1} \wedge \ldots \wedge e_{k_{n-i}}}(e_{k'_1} \wedge \ldots \wedge \widehat{e}_{k'_q} \wedge \ldots \wedge e_{k'_{i+1}}) \widetilde{e}_{k'_q}.y \\
&\quad - (-1)^{q+1} f(\widetilde{e}_{k'_q}.x) \phi_{e_{k_1} \wedge \ldots \wedge e_{k_{n-i}}}(e_{k'_1} \wedge \ldots \wedge \widehat{e}_{k'_q} \wedge \ldots \wedge e_{k'_{i+1}}) y \\
=&(-1)^{n-i-p} f(x) \phi_{e_{k_1} \wedge \ldots \wedge \widehat{e}_{k_p} \wedge \ldots \wedge e_{k_{n-i}}}(e_{k'_1} \wedge \ldots \wedge e_{k'_{i+1}}) \widetilde{e}_{k'_q}.y \\
&\quad - (-1)^{n-i-p} f(\widetilde{e}_{k'_q}.x) \phi_{e_{k_1} \wedge \ldots \wedge \widehat{e}_{k_p} \wedge \ldots \wedge e_{k_{n-i}}}(e_{k'_1} \wedge \ldots \wedge e_{k'_{i+1}}) y \quad \text{(by Lemma 4.6)} \\
=&(-1)^{n-i-p} f(x) \phi_{e_{k_1} \wedge \ldots \wedge \widehat{e}_{k_p} \wedge \ldots \wedge e_{k_{n-i}}}(e_{k'_1} \wedge \ldots \wedge e_{k'_{i+1}}) \widetilde{e}_{k_p}.y \\
&\quad - (-1)^{n-i-p} f(\widetilde{e}_{k_p}.x) \phi_{e_{k_1} \wedge \ldots \wedge \widehat{e}_{k_p} \wedge \ldots \wedge e_{k_{n-i}}}(e_{k'_1} \wedge \ldots \wedge e_{k'_{i+1}}) y \quad \text{(by } e_{k_p} = e_{k'_q}\text{)} \\
=&(-1)^{n-i-p} f(x) \phi_{e_{k_1} \wedge \ldots \wedge \widehat{e}_{k_p} \wedge \ldots \wedge e_{k_{n-i}}}(e_{k'_1} \wedge \ldots \wedge e_{k'_{i+1}}) \widetilde{e}_{k_p}.y \\
&\quad + (-1)^{n-i-p-1} (\widetilde{e}_{k_p}.f)(x) \phi_{e_{k_1} \wedge \ldots \wedge \widehat{e}_{k_p} \wedge \ldots \wedge e_{k_{n-i}}}(e_{k'_1} \wedge \ldots \wedge e_{k'_{i+1}}) y \text{ (by Lemma 4.4)} \\
=&(-1)^{n-i+1} \overline{\Psi}(D_i(f \otimes y \otimes \phi_{e_{k_1} \wedge \ldots \wedge e_{k_{n-i}}}))(x \otimes e_{k'_1} \wedge \ldots \wedge e_{k'_{i+1}}) \\
=&(-1)^{n-i+1} \overline{\Psi}(\overline{D}_i(\omega))(x \otimes e_{k'_1} \wedge \ldots \wedge e_{k'_{i+1}})
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof for (1).

The proof for (2) follows the same style of computations. (One of the difference is in the fifth equality of the computation in the second case and that explains why the definition of $\overline{D}^\bullet_i$ and $\overline{D}^*_i$ differs by a sign in a term.) □

Lemma 4.9. *We have the following:*

1. $D^2 = 0$ *and* $(D^\bullet)^2 = 0$,
2. *The complex* $\mathrm{Hom}_W(\mathrm{Res}_W X \otimes \wedge^i V, Y)$ *with differentials* d^*_i *is naturally isomorphic to the complex* $(X^* \otimes Y \otimes (\wedge^i V)^\vee)^W$ *with differentials* D_i.
3. *The complex* $\mathrm{Hom}_W(\mathrm{Res}_W X \otimes \wedge^i V, Y)$ *with differentials* d^*_i *is naturally isomorphic to the complex* $(X^\bullet \otimes Y \otimes (\wedge^i V)^\vee)^W$ *with differentials* $D^\bullet_i$.

Proof. By Lemma 4.8(1) and the fact that Ψ is an isomorphism, $D_i = \Psi^{-1} \circ d^*_i \circ \Psi$. Then (1) follows from Lemma 3.3. (2) follows from Lemma 4.8 (1). The proof for (3) and another assertion about $D^\bullet$ in (1) is similar. □

Proposition 4.10. *Let* X, Y *be finite dimensional* $\mathbb{H}$*-modules. We have:*

1. $\mathrm{Ext}^i_{\mathbb{H}}(X, Y^*) \cong \mathrm{Ext}^i_{\mathbb{H}}(Y, X^*)$;

(2) $\mathrm{Ext}^i_{\mathbb{H}}(X, Y^\bullet) \cong \mathrm{Ext}^i_{\mathbb{H}}(Y, X^\bullet)$;
(3) $\mathrm{Ext}^i_{\mathbb{H}}(X, \theta(Y)) \cong \mathrm{Ext}^i_{\mathbb{H}}(\theta(X), Y)$.

Those linear isomorphisms between them are natural.

Proof. We first prove that $\mathrm{Ext}^i_{\mathbb{H}}(X, Y^*) = \mathrm{Ext}^i_{\mathbb{H}}(Y, X^*)$. By Lemma 4.9 (2),

$$\mathrm{Ext}^i_{\mathbb{H}}(X, Y^*) \cong \ker D_{(X^*\otimes Y^*\otimes(\wedge^i V)^\vee)^W} / \operatorname{im} D_{(X^*\otimes Y^*\otimes(\wedge^{i-1} V)^\vee)^W},$$

and

$$\mathrm{Ext}^i_{\mathbb{H}}(Y, X^*) \cong \ker D_{(Y^*\otimes X^*\otimes(\wedge^i V)^\vee)^W} / \operatorname{im} D_{(Y^*\otimes X^*\otimes(\wedge^{i-1} V)^\vee)^W}.$$

There is a natural isomorphism between the spaces $(X^* \otimes Y^* \otimes (\wedge^{n-i} V)^\vee)^W$ and $(Y^* \otimes X^* \otimes (\wedge^{n-i} V)^\vee)^W$. It is straightforward to verify the isomorphism induces an isomorphism between the corresponding complexes by using (4.11). The proof for (2) is similar.

For (3), let $P^i \to X$ be a projective resolution of X. Then $\theta(P^i)$ is still a projective object and $\theta(P^i) \to \theta(X)$ is a projective resolution of $\theta(X)$. There is a natural isomorphism $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{H}}(\theta(P^i), Y) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{H}}(P^i, \theta(Y))$. Hence $\mathrm{Ext}^i_{\mathbb{H}}(\theta(X), Y) = \mathrm{Ext}^i_{\mathbb{H}}(X, \theta(Y))$. □

4.5. **Iwahori-Matsumoto dual.**

Definition 4.11. The Iwahori-Matsumoto involution ι is an automorphism on $\mathbb{H}$ determined by

$$\iota(v) = -v \quad \text{for } v \in V, \iota(w) = \mathrm{sgn}(w)w \quad \text{for } w \in W\ .$$

This defines a map, still denoted ι, from the set of $\mathbb{H}$-modules to the set of $\mathbb{H}$-modules.

Lemma 4.12. *For any $v \in V$, $\iota(\widetilde{v}) = -\widetilde{v}$.*

Proof. This follows from $\iota(s_\alpha) = -s_\alpha$ and definitions. □

Lemma 4.13. *Let Y be an $\mathbb{H}$-module. Let κ be the natural (vector space) bijection from Y to $\iota(Y)$ so that $h.\kappa(y) = \kappa(\iota(h).y)$. Define a bilinear pairing $\langle , \rangle^\bullet_Y : Y \times \iota(Y)^\bullet \to \mathbb{C}$ by $\langle y, g\rangle^\bullet_Y = g(\kappa(y))$. Then*

(1) *for $v \in V$, $\langle \widetilde{v}.y, g\rangle^\bullet_Y = \langle y, -\widetilde{v}.g\rangle^\bullet_Y$,*
(2) *for $w \in W$, $\langle t_w.y, g\rangle^\bullet_Y = \mathrm{sgn}(w)\langle y, t_w^{-1}.g\rangle^\bullet_Y$,*
(3) *$\langle , \rangle^\bullet_Y$ is non-degenerate.*

Proof. By a direct computation, $\widetilde{v}^\bullet = \widetilde{v}$ and then (1) follows from Lemma 4.12 and the definitions. (2) and (3) follow from the definitions. □

Proposition 4.14. *For $\mathbb{H}$-modules X and Y, $\mathrm{Ext}^i_{\mathbb{H}}(X, \iota(Y)) \cong \mathrm{Ext}^i_{\mathbb{H}}(\iota(X), Y)$.*

Proof. Let $P^i \to X$ be a projective resolution of X. Then $\iota(P^i)$ is still a projective object and $\iota(P^i) \to \iota(X)$ is a projective resolution of $\iota(X)$. There is a natural isomorphism $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{H}}(\iota(P^i), Y) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{H}}(P^i, \iota(Y))$. Hence $\mathrm{Ext}^i_{\mathbb{H}}(\iota(X), Y) \cong \mathrm{Ext}^i_{\mathbb{H}}(X, \iota(Y))$. □

4.6. **Duality theorem.** In this section, we state and prove a duality on $\mathrm{Ext}_{\mathbb{H}}$-groups.

Theorem 4.15. *Let $\mathbb{H}$ be the graded affine Hecke algebra associated to a root datum $(R, V, R^\vee, V^\vee, \Pi)$ and a parameter function $k : \Pi \to \mathbb{C}$ (Definition 2.1). Let $n = \dim V$. Let X and Y be finite dimensional $\mathbb{H}$-modules. Let X^* be the $*$-dual of X in Definition 4.3. Let $\iota(Y)$ be the Iwahori-Matsumoto dual in Definition 4.11 and let $\iota(Y)^\bullet$ be the $\bullet$-dual of $\iota(Y)$ in Definition 4.3. Then there exists a natural non-degenerate pairing*

$$\mathrm{Ext}^i_{\mathbb{H}}(X, Y) \times \mathrm{Ext}^{n-i}_{\mathbb{H}}(X^*, \iota(Y)^\bullet) \to \mathbb{C}.$$

Proof. We divide the proof into few steps.

Step 1: Construct non-degenerate bilinear pairings.

The space

$$\mathrm{Hom}_W(X \otimes \wedge^i V, Y) \times \mathrm{Hom}_W(X^* \otimes \wedge^{n-i} V, \iota(Y)^\bullet)$$

is identified with

$$(X^* \otimes Y \otimes (\wedge^i V)^\vee)^W \times (X \otimes \iota(Y)^\bullet \otimes (\wedge^{n-i} V)^\vee)^W$$

as in Section 4.4. Let $\langle , \rangle^*_X$ be the bilinear pairing on $X^* \times X$ such that $\langle f, x\rangle^*_X = f(x)$ for $f \in X^*$ and $x \in X$. Let $\langle , \rangle^\bullet_Y$ be the bilinear pairing on $Y \times \iota(Y)^\bullet$ such that $\langle y, g\rangle^\bullet_Y = g(\kappa(y))$ for $g \in \iota(Y)^\bullet$ and $y \in Y$. Here κ is defined as in Lemma 4.13.

For each i, we first define the pairing $\langle , \rangle_{X,Y,\wedge^i V}$ on a larger space $(X^* \otimes Y \otimes (\wedge^i V)^\vee) \times (X \otimes \iota(Y)^\bullet \otimes (\wedge^{n-i} V)^\vee)$ via the product of the pairings $\langle , \rangle^*_X$, $\langle , \rangle^\bullet_Y$ and $\langle , \rangle_{(\wedge^i V)^\vee}$ i.e.

$$\langle f \otimes y \otimes \phi_{v_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge v_{n-i}}, x \otimes g \otimes \phi_{v_{n-i+1} \wedge \ldots \wedge v_n} \rangle_{X,Y.\wedge^i V} = \langle f, x\rangle^*_X \langle y, g\rangle^\bullet_Y \langle \phi_{v_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge v_{n-i}}, \phi_{v_{n-i+1} \wedge \ldots \wedge v_n} \rangle_{(\wedge^i V)^\vee}.$$

Since all the pairings $\langle , \rangle^*_X$, $\langle , \rangle^\bullet_Y$ and $\langle , \rangle_{(\wedge^i V)^\vee}$ are bilinear, $\langle , \rangle_{X,Y,\wedge^i V}$ is bilinear and well-defined.

This pairing $\langle , \rangle_{X,Y,\wedge^i V}$ is non-degenerate because $\langle , \rangle^*_X$, $\langle , \rangle^\bullet_Y$ and $\langle , \rangle_{(\wedge^i V)^\vee}$ are non-degenerate. Note that $\langle , \rangle_{X,Y,\wedge^i V}$ is W-invariant, which follows from Lemma 4.4(2), Lemma 4.13(2) and Lemma 4.7.

In order to see $\langle , \rangle_{X,Y,\wedge^i V}$ restricted on $(X^* \otimes Y \otimes (\wedge^i V)^\vee)^W \times (X \otimes \iota(Y)^\bullet \otimes (\wedge^{n-i} V)^\vee)^W$ is still non-degenerate, we pick $\omega \in (X^* \otimes Y \otimes (\wedge^i V)^\vee)^W$. There exists $\omega' \in X \otimes (\wedge^{n-i} V)^\vee \otimes \iota(Y)^\bullet \otimes (\wedge^{n-i} V)^\vee$ such that $\langle \omega, \omega' \rangle_{X,Y,\wedge^i V} \neq 0$. Then by the W-invariance of $\langle , \rangle_{X,Y,\wedge^i V}$, we have $\langle \omega, \sum_{w \in W} w(\omega') \rangle_{X,Y,\wedge^i V} \neq 0$, as desired. Hence $\langle , \rangle_{X,Y,\wedge^i V}$ restricted on $(X^* \otimes Y \otimes (\wedge^i V)^\vee)^W \times (X \otimes \iota(Y^\bullet) \otimes (\wedge^{n-i} V)^\vee)^W$ is still non-degenerate.

Step 2: Compute the adjoint operator of $\overline{D}$ for $\langle , \rangle_{X,Y,\wedge^i V}$

Recall that $\overline{D}$ is defined in (4.11). For notational simplicity, set $\overline{D}^1_i = \overline{D}_{(X^* \otimes Y \otimes (\wedge^i V)^\vee)}$ and $\overline{D}^2_{n-i-1} = \overline{D}_{(X \otimes \iota(Y)^\bullet \otimes (\wedge^{n-i-1} V)^\vee)}$, where we regard $X = (X^*)^*$. Recall that we fixed a basis $e_1, \ldots, e_n$ for V. We first show that

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle \overline{D}^1_i (f \otimes y \otimes \phi_{e_{k_1} \wedge \ldots \wedge e_{k_{n-i}}}), x \otimes g \otimes \phi_{e_{k'_1} \wedge \ldots \wedge e_{k'_{i+1}}} \rangle_{X,Y,\wedge^{i+1} V} \\ &= \pm \langle f \otimes y \otimes \phi_{e_{k_1} \wedge \ldots \wedge e_{k_{n-i}}}, \overline{D}^2_{n-i-1} (x \otimes g \otimes \phi_{e_{k'_1} \wedge \ldots \wedge e_{k'_{i+1}}}) \rangle_{X,Y,\wedge^i V} \end{aligned} \tag{4.12}$$

($f \in X^*$, $x \in X$, $g \in Y^*$, $y \in Y$, $\phi_{e_{k_1} \wedge \ldots \wedge e_{k_{n-i}}} \in (\wedge^i V)^\vee$ and $\phi_{e_{k'_1} \wedge \ldots \wedge e_{k'_{i+1}}} \in (\wedge^{n-i-1} V)^\vee$).

We divide into two cases. Suppose $|\{k_1,\dots,k_{n-i}\}\cap\{k'_1,\dots,k'_{i+1}\}|\geq 2$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned}&\langle\overline{D}^1_i(f\otimes y\otimes\phi_{e_{k_1}\wedge\dots\wedge e_{k_{n-i}}}),x\otimes g\otimes\phi_{e_{k'_1}\wedge\dots\wedge e_{k'_{i+1}}}\rangle_{X,Y,\wedge^{i+1}V}\\ =&0=(-1)^{n-i}\langle f\otimes y\otimes\phi_{e_{k_1}\wedge\dots\wedge e_{k_{n-i}}},\overline{D}^2_{n-i-1}(x\otimes g\otimes\phi_{e_{k'_1}\wedge\dots\wedge e_{k'_{i+1}}}))\rangle_{X,Y,\wedge^iV}\end{aligned}$$

For the second case, suppose $|\{k_1,\dots,k_{n-i}\}\cap\{k'_1,\dots,k'_{i+1}\}|=1$. Let k_p and k'_q be the unique pair of indices such that $e_{k_p}=e_{k'_q}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}&\langle\overline{D}^1_i(f\otimes y\otimes\phi_{e_{k_1}\wedge\dots\wedge e_{k_{n-i}}}),x\otimes g\otimes\phi_{e_{k'_1}\wedge\dots\wedge e_{k'_{i+1}}}\rangle_{X,Y,\wedge^{i+1}V}\\ =&(-1)^{p+1}\langle\widetilde{e}_{k_p}.f,x\rangle^*_X\ \langle y,g\rangle^\bullet_Y\ \langle\phi_{e_{k_1}\wedge\dots\wedge\widehat{e}_{k_p}\wedge\dots\wedge e_{k_{n-i}}},\phi_{e_{k'_1}\wedge\dots\wedge e_{k'_{i+1}}}\rangle_{(\wedge^{n-i-1}V)^\vee}\\ &+(-1)^{p+1}\langle f,x\rangle^*_X\ \langle\widetilde{e}_{k_p}.y,g\rangle^\bullet_Y\ \langle\phi_{e_{k_1}\wedge\dots\wedge\widehat{e}_{k_p}\wedge\dots\wedge e_{k_{n-i}}},\phi_{e_{k'_1}\wedge\dots\wedge e_{k'_{i+1}}}\rangle_{(\wedge^{n-i-1}V)^\vee}\\ =&(-1)^{p+1}\langle f,-\widetilde{e}_{k_p}.x\rangle^*_X\ \langle y,g\rangle^\bullet_Y\ \langle\phi_{e_{k_1}\wedge\dots\wedge\widehat{e}_{k_p}\wedge\dots\wedge e_{k_{n-i}}},\phi_{e_{k'_1}\wedge\dots\wedge e_{k'_{i+1}}}\rangle_{(\wedge^{n-i-1}V)^\vee}\\ &+(-1)^{p+1}\langle f,x\rangle^*_X\langle y,-\widetilde{e}_{k_p}.g\rangle^\bullet_Y\ \langle\phi_{e_{k_1}\wedge\dots\wedge\widehat{e}_{k_p}\wedge\dots\wedge e_{k_{n-i}}},\phi_{e_{k'_1}\wedge\dots\wedge e_{k'_{i+1}}}\rangle_{(\wedge^{n-i-1}V)^\vee}\\ =&(-1)^{n-i+q}\langle f,-\widetilde{e}_{k'_q}.x\rangle^*_X\ \langle y,g\rangle^\bullet_Y\ \langle\phi_{e_{k_1}\wedge\dots\wedge e_{k_{n-i}}},\phi_{e_{k'_1}\wedge\dots\wedge\widehat{e}_{k'_q}\wedge\dots\wedge e_{k_{i+1}}}\rangle_{(\wedge^{n-i}V)^\vee}\\ &+(-1)^{n-i+q}\langle f,x\rangle^*_X\ \langle y,-\widetilde{e}_{k'_q}.g\rangle^\bullet_Y\ \langle\phi_{e_{k_1}\wedge\dots\wedge e_{k_{n-i}}},\phi_{e_{k'_1}\wedge\dots\wedge\widehat{e}_{k'_q}\wedge\dots\wedge e_{k_{i+1}}}\rangle_{(\wedge^{n-i}V)^\vee}\\ =&(-1)^{n-i}\langle f\otimes y\otimes\phi_{e_{k_1}\wedge\dots\wedge e_{k_{n-i}}},\overline{D}^2_{n-i-1}(x\otimes g\otimes\phi_{e_{k'_1}\wedge\dots\wedge e_{k'_{i+1}}})\rangle_{X,Y,\wedge^iV}\end{aligned}$$

The second equality follows from Lemma 4.4(1) and Lemma 4.13(1). The third equality follows from $e_{k_p}=e_{k'_q}$. Hence we have shown the equation (4.12). By linearity, we have for $\omega_1\in(X^*\otimes Y\otimes(\wedge^iV)^\vee)^W$ and $\omega_2\in(X\otimes Y\otimes(\wedge^{n-i-1}V)^\vee)^W$,

$$\langle D^1_i\omega_1,\omega_2\rangle_{X,Y,\wedge^{i+1}V}=(-1)^{n-i}\langle\omega_1,D^2_{n-i-1}\omega_2\rangle_{X,Y,\wedge^iV},\tag{4.13}$$

where $D^1_i=D_{(X^*\otimes Y\otimes(\wedge^iV)^\vee)^W}$ and $D^2_{n-i-1}=D_{(X\otimes\iota(Y)^\bullet\otimes(\wedge^{n-i-1}V)^\vee)^W}$.

Then by (4.13) with some linear algebra argument, the pairing $\langle.,.\rangle_{X,Y.\wedge^iV}$ descends to

$$\ker D^1_i/\operatorname{im}D^1_{i-1}\times\ker D^2_{n-i}/\operatorname{im}D^2_{n-i-1}\to\mathbb{C}.$$

By Proposition 3.7 and Lemma 4.9(2), we have a natural non-degenerate pairing on

$$\operatorname{Ext}^i_{\mathbb{H}}(X,Y)\times\operatorname{Ext}^{n-i}_{\mathbb{H}}(X^*,\iota(Y)^\bullet)\to\mathbb{C}.$$ □

Remark 4.16. We give few comments concerning the statement and the proof of Theorem 4.15.

(1) If X and Y have the same central character, then X^* and $\iota(Y^\bullet)$ also have the same central character.
(2) The use of the element $\widetilde{v}$ makes the computation in step 2 of the proof easier.
(3) The choice of the duals is necessary to have a nice adjoint operator of $\overline{D}$ for the pairing $\langle.,.\rangle_{X,Y,\wedge^iV}$ in step 2. By Proposition 4.10, one also obtains a non-degenerate pairing
$$\operatorname{Ext}^i_{\mathbb{H}}(X,Y)\times\operatorname{Ext}^{n-i}_{\mathbb{H}}(X^\bullet,\iota(Y)^*)\to\mathbb{C}.$$
(4) The Iwahori-Matsumoto involution is necessary to show the pairing $\langle.,.\rangle_{X,Y,\wedge^iV}$ is W-invariant and so non-degenerate in step 1.

Remark 4.17. If we replace the anti-involutions $*$ and $\bullet$ with Hermitian anti-involutions studied in [4], one can still obtain an analogous statement for Theorem 4.15 involving those Hermitian anti-involutions.

4.7. **Some consequences of the duality.** The duality sometimes provides an easier way to compute Ext-groups. The first immediate application is to compute the top Ext-group, provided that the $\mathbb{D}$-dual is known. Here the operator $\mathbb{D}$ is defined below:

Definition 4.18. For a finite-dimensional $\mathbb{H}$-module X, define $\mathbb{D}(X) = \iota(X^\bullet)^*$. By Lemma 4.5, $\mathbb{D}(X) \cong \iota(\theta(X))$.

Corollary 4.19. *Let X and Y be finite-dimensional irreducible $\mathbb{H}$-modules. Then*

$$\mathrm{Ext}^n_{\mathbb{H}}(X,Y) \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{C} & \text{if } X \cong \mathbb{D}(Y) \\ 0 & \text{if } X \ncong \mathbb{D}(Y) \end{cases}.$$

Proof. This follows from Theorem 4.15 and Schur's Lemma. □

One may also expect that the symmetry from the duality can help compute some Ext-groups. We shall see in Section 7 it makes the computation between a tempered module and a discrete series simpler. Other than that, for R of rank 2, the Ext-groups between most of irreducible modules can be computed with only knowing the W-structure and central characters by Proposition 3.7 and Corollary 4.19. For some higher rank cases, some extra information such as composition factors of standard modules may be needed for computations. Further discussions will appear in [11].

5. Duality for the Yoneda product

In this section, we prove the duality for Theorem 4.15 in the level of the Yoneda product. This information is more useful for studying the extension algebra of graded affine Hecke algebra modules. The proof for the improved duality is basically extending the one of Theorem 4.15. In particular, we have to put the bilinear forms in the proof of Theorem 4.15 in a suitable form, that is the content of Section 5.2 below.

5.1. **Yoneda extension.** We first review the Yoneda extension.

We first recall the interpretation of Ext-groups in terms of the Yoneda Ext-groups. Let $\mathcal{S}(X,Y)$ be the collection of exact sequences of the form:

$$0 \to Y \to Z_i \to \ldots \to Z_1 \to X \to 0,$$

where Z_k are $\mathbb{H}$-modules. Denote $\mathrm{YExt}^i_{\mathbb{H}}(X,Y)$ by the equivalence classes of $\mathcal{S}(X,Y)$ under the equivalence relation generated by the relation that for $S, S' \in \mathcal{S}(X,Y)$, $S \sim S'$ if and only if there exists a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{lccccccccc} S: & 0 \longrightarrow & Y & \longrightarrow & Z_i & \longrightarrow \cdots\cdots \longrightarrow & Z_1 & \longrightarrow & X & \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle id} & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle id} & \\ S': & 0 \longrightarrow & Y & \longrightarrow & Z_i' & \longrightarrow \cdots\cdots \longrightarrow & Z_1' & \longrightarrow & X & \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

For any $S \in \mathcal{S}(X,Y)$, we have a chain morphism between two complexes

$$\begin{array}{cccccccccccc} & 0 & \longrightarrow & \ker d_{i-2} & \longrightarrow & P_{i-1} & \xrightarrow{d_{i-2}} & \cdots\cdots & \longrightarrow & P_0 & \longrightarrow & X & \longrightarrow & 0\ , \\ & & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \eta} & & \downarrow & & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle id} & & \\ S: & 0 & \longrightarrow & Y & \longrightarrow & Z_i & \longrightarrow & \cdots\cdots & \longrightarrow & Z_1 & \longrightarrow & X & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

where P_j form a projective resolution of X. This gives rise a connecting homomorphism ∂ and the following exact sequence:

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{H}}(P_{i-1},Y) \to \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{H}}(\ker d_{i-2},Y) \xrightarrow{\partial} \mathrm{Ext}^i_{\mathbb{H}}(X,Y) \to 0.$$

We obtain an element $\partial(\eta) \in \mathrm{Ext}^i_{\mathbb{H}}(X,Y)$. This defines a map from $\mathcal{S}(X,Y)$ to $\mathrm{Ext}^i_{\mathbb{H}}(X,Y)$. Since two elements in the same equivalence class of $\mathcal{S}(X,Y)$ have the same image under the map, we also have a map from $\mathrm{YExt}^i_{\mathbb{H}}(X,Y)$ to $\mathrm{Ext}^i_{\mathbb{H}}(X,Y)$, which is indeed an isomorphism (see e.g. [27, Chapter III.5] or [42, Chapter 3.4]). Denote the inverse of the isomorphism by $\mathrm{Yon}^i_{X,Y} : \mathrm{Ext}^i_{\mathbb{H}}(X,Y) \to \mathrm{YExt}^i_{\mathbb{H}}(X,Y)$. We may sometimes write Yon for $\mathrm{Yon}^i_{X,Y}$ for simplicity.

5.2. **Alternate form of bilinear pairings.** We need several notations. We fix a basis $e_1,\ldots,e_n$ for V as in Section 4.3. Let $n = \dim V$. Let X be a finite-dimensional $\mathbb{H}$-module. Set $P_i = \mathbb{H} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[W]} (\mathrm{Res}_W X \otimes \wedge^i V)$ and set ${}^\iota P_i = \mathbb{H} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[W]} (\mathrm{Res}_W \iota(X)^\bullet \otimes \wedge^i V)$, which are projective modules. We use d_i for the differential map $d_{i,X}$ and use ${}^\iota d_i$ for the differential map ${}^\iota d_{i,\iota(X)^\bullet}$ (see Section 3.2 for $d_{i,X}$ and ${}^\iota d_{i,\iota(X)^\bullet}$). The maps d_i and ${}^\iota d_i$ induce dual maps $d_i^* : P_i^* \to P_{i+1}^*$ and ${}^\iota d_i^* : {}^\iota P_i^* \to {}^\iota P_{i+1}^*$ respectively.

Let $\vartheta_i : \mathrm{Res}_W X \otimes \wedge^i V \to P_i$ be the natural injective map such that the image of ϑ_i is the subspace $1 \otimes (\mathrm{Res}_W X \otimes \wedge^i V)$ of ${}^\iota P_{n-i}$. Similarly, let ${}^\iota\vartheta_i : \mathrm{Res}_W \iota(X)^\bullet \otimes \wedge^i V \to {}^\iota P_i$ be the natural inclusion such that the image of ${}^\iota\vartheta_i$ is the subspace $1 \otimes (\mathrm{Res}_W \iota(X)^\bullet \otimes \wedge^i V)$ of ${}^\iota P_i$. Let $(\mathrm{Res}_W \iota(X)^\bullet \otimes \wedge^i V)^\vee$ be the dual W-representation of $\mathrm{Res}_W \iota(X)^\bullet \otimes \wedge^i V$. ${}^\iota\vartheta_i$ induces a dual map ${}^\iota\vartheta_i^* : ({}^\iota P_i)^* \to (\mathrm{Res}_W \iota(X)^\bullet \otimes \wedge^i V)^\vee$.

Let B_i be the linear isomorphism from $\mathrm{Res}_W X \otimes \wedge^i V \to (\mathrm{Res}_W \iota(X)^\bullet \otimes \wedge^{n-i} V)^\vee$ such that $B_i(x \otimes v_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge v_i)(f \otimes v_{i+1} \wedge \ldots \wedge v_n) = f(x)\langle v_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge v_i, v_{i+1} \wedge \ldots \wedge v_n\rangle_{\wedge^i V}$, where $\langle,\rangle_{\wedge^i V}$ is defined as in Section 4.3. For an $\mathbb{H}$-map $\alpha : P_i \to ({}^\iota P_{n-i})^*$, we define $\mathrm{Tr}_i(\alpha) = \mathrm{trace}(B_i^{-1} \circ {}^\iota\vartheta_{n-i}^* \circ \alpha_i \circ \vartheta_i)$ (*c.f.* the bilinear pairings in Lemma 4.4 and Section 4.3), where trace is the usual trace of a linear endomorphism.

Let Y be a finite-dimensional $\mathbb{H}$-module. For notation simplicity, we use $d_i^\vee$ for the differential map $d_{i,X}^{\vee,Y}$ and ${}^\iota d_i^\vee$ for $d_{i,\iota(X)^\bullet}^{\vee,Y^*}$ in the remainder of this subsection (see Section 3.4 for the notion of $d_{i,X}^{\vee,Y}$ and $d_{i,\iota(X)^\bullet}^{\vee,Y^*}$). We also write $d_{i,W}^\vee$ for $d_{i,X,W}^{\vee,Y}$ and ${}^\iota d_{i,W}^\vee$ for $d_{i,\iota(X^\bullet),W}^{\vee,Y^*}$. The map ${}^\iota d_i$ also induces a natural map, denoted ${}^\iota d_i^{\vee,*}$, from $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{H}}(Y,{}^\iota P_i^*)$ to $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{H}}(Y,{}^\iota P_{i+1}^*)$. We also have induced maps of ${}^\iota d_{i,W}^{\vee,*}$ in the level of W, but we shall describe more explicitly below.

We have the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{H}}({}^{\iota}P_i, Y^*) & \xrightarrow{\quad\sim\quad} & \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{H}}(Y, {}^{\iota}P_i^*) \\ \Big\downarrow{\scriptstyle \text{Frobenius reciprocity}} & & \Big\downarrow{\scriptstyle T} \\ \mathrm{Hom}_W(\mathrm{Res}_W \iota(X)^\bullet \otimes \wedge^i V, \mathrm{Res}_W Y^*) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \mathrm{Hom}_W(\mathrm{Res}_W Y, (\mathrm{Res}_W \iota(X)^\bullet \otimes \wedge^i V)^\vee) \end{array},$$

where the top and bottom maps are the natural isomorphisms, and the map T can be expressed as

$$T(\alpha) = {}^{\iota}\vartheta_i^* \circ \alpha. \tag{5.14}$$

For instance, the top isomorphism denoted by K is given by: for $\eta \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{H}}({}^{\iota}P_i, Y^*)$, $(K(\eta)(y))(h \otimes f \otimes v_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge v_i) = \eta(h \otimes f \otimes v_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge v_i)(y)$. We shall also write η^* for $K(\eta)$. It is straightforward to check the diagram is commutative and so T is also an isomorphism.

Thus we now have new complexes and we again translate the differential maps to the new complexes. The map ${}^{\iota}d_i^{\vee,*} : \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{H}}(Y, {}^{\iota}P_{i+1}^*) \to \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{H}}(Y, {}^{\iota}P_i^*)$ can be described as, where $\beta \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{H}}(Y, {}^{\iota}P_{i+1}^*)$, $y \in Y$, $f \in \iota(X)^\bullet$ and $v_1, \ldots, v_{i+1} \in V$:

$$\begin{aligned} &({}^{\iota}d_i^{\vee,*}(\beta)(y))(h \otimes f \otimes v_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge v_{i+1}) \\ =&\sum_{j=1}^{i+1}(-1)^{j+1}\beta(\widetilde{v}_j^*.y)(h \otimes f \otimes v_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge v_{i+1}) - \sum_{j=1}^{i+1}(-1)^{j+1}\beta(y)(h \otimes \widetilde{v}_j.f \otimes v_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge v_{i+1}) \\ =&-\sum_{j=1}^{i+1}(-1)^{j+1}\beta(\widetilde{v}_j.y)(h \otimes \widetilde{v}_j.f \otimes v_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge v_{i+1} - \sum_{j=1}^{i+1}(-1)^{j+1}\beta(y)(h \otimes \widetilde{v}_j.f \otimes v_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge v_{i+1}) \end{aligned}$$

We also define ${}^{\iota}d_{i,W}^{\vee,*}$ as follows: for $\beta \in \mathrm{Hom}_W(\mathrm{Res}_W Y, (\mathrm{Res}_W \iota(X)^* \otimes \wedge^i V)^\vee)$,

$$\begin{aligned} &({}^{\iota}d_{i,W}^{\vee,*}(\beta)(y))(f \otimes v_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge v_{i+1}) \\ =&-\sum_{j=1}^{i+1}(-1)^{j+1}\beta(\widetilde{v}_j.y)(f \otimes v_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge \widehat{v}_j \wedge \ldots \wedge v_{i+1}) - \sum_{j=1}^{i+1}(-1)^{j+1}\beta(y)(\widetilde{v}_j.f \otimes v_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge \widehat{v}_j \wedge \ldots \wedge v_{i+1}) \end{aligned}$$

By direct computations, we have the following:

Lemma 5.1. *Let $\eta \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{H}}({}^{\iota}P_i, Y^*)$. Then*

(1) ${}^{\iota}d_i^{\vee,*}\eta^* = ({}^{\iota}d_i^{\vee}\eta)^*$,
(2) ${}^{\iota}d_{i,W}^{\vee,*}(T(\eta^*)) = T({}^{\iota}d_i^{\vee,*}(\eta^*))$.

Lemma 5.2. *Let $x \in X$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} &B_i(\sum_{j=1}^{i+1}(-1)^{j+1}\widetilde{e}_{k_j}.x \otimes e_{k_1} \wedge \ldots \wedge \widehat{e}_{k_j} \wedge \ldots \wedge e_{k_{i+1}})(f \otimes e_{l_1} \wedge \ldots \wedge e_{l_{n-i}}) \\ =&-(-1)^i B_{i+1}(x \otimes e_{k_1} \wedge \ldots \wedge e_{k_{i+1}})(\sum_{j=1}^{n-i}(-1)^{j+1}\widetilde{e}_{l_j}.f \otimes e_{l_1} \wedge \ldots \wedge \widehat{e}_{l_j} \wedge \ldots \wedge e_{l_{n-i}}) \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Direct computation (similar to the proof of Lemma 5.5 below). We omit the details. □

Proposition 5.3. *For any* $\eta_1 \in \ker d_i^\vee \setminus \operatorname{im} d_{i-1}^\vee$*, there exists* $\eta_2 \in \ker {}^\iota d_{n-i}^\vee \setminus \operatorname{im} {}^\iota d_{n-i-1}^\vee$ *such that* $\mathrm{Tr}_i(\eta_2^* \circ \eta_1) \neq 0$.

Proof. The proof is similar to the one of Theorem 4.15. We briefly explain how to obtain the statement without going through all the computations. For simplicity set $Q_i = \mathrm{Res}_W X \otimes \wedge^i V$. We identify $\mathrm{Hom}_W(Q_i, Q_i)$ with $Q_i^\vee \otimes Q_i$. Then for $\sum_k q_k^\vee \otimes q_k' \in Q_i^\vee \otimes Q_i$,

$$\mathrm{trace}(\sum_k q_k^\vee \otimes q_k') = \sum_k q_k^\vee(q_k'). \tag{5.15}$$

Let $\eta \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{H}}(P_i, Y)$ and let $\eta' \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{H}}({}^\iota P_{n-i-1}, Y^*)$. We regard $\eta \circ \vartheta_i$ as an element in $\mathrm{Hom}_W(\mathrm{Res}_W X \otimes \wedge^i V, \mathrm{Res}_W Y)$ and ${}^\iota\vartheta_{n-i}^* \circ \eta'$ as an element in $\mathrm{Hom}_W(\mathrm{Res}_W Y, (\mathrm{Res}_W \iota(X)^\bullet \otimes \wedge^i V)^\vee)$. Using (5.15) and Lemma 5.2, we have $\mathrm{trace}(B_{i+1}^{-1} \circ ({}^\iota\vartheta_{n-i-1}^* \circ \eta') \circ (d_{i,W}^\vee(\eta \circ \vartheta_i)) = (-1)^{i+1}\mathrm{trace}(B_i^{-1} \circ ({}^\iota d_{n-i-1,W}^{\vee,*}({}^\iota\vartheta_{n-i-1}^* \circ \eta') \circ (\eta \circ \vartheta_i))$. We also have $d_{i,W}^\vee(\eta \circ \vartheta_i) = (d_i^\vee \eta) \circ \vartheta_{i+1}$ and ${}^\iota d_{n-i-1,W}^{\vee,*}({}^\iota\vartheta_{n-i-1}^* \circ (\eta')^*) = {}^\iota\vartheta_{n-i}^* \circ ({}^\iota d_{n-i-1}^\vee \eta')^*$ (e.g. by Lemma 5.1 and (5.14)). Combining the equations, we have

$$\mathrm{Tr}_{i+1}((\eta')^* \circ (d_i^\vee \eta)) = (-1)^i \mathrm{Tr}_i(({}^\iota d_{n-i-1}^\vee \eta')^* \circ \eta) \tag{5.16}$$

Thus Tr_i replaces the non-degenerate bilinear pairing in Step 1 of the proof of Theorem 4.15 and the equation (5.16) replaces the computation of an adjoint operator in step 2 of the proof of Theorem 4.15. Then with some linear algebra argument, we can obtain the statement. □

5.3. **Lemma for transferring information between** Tr**.** We retain the notation in Section 5.2. The following lemma is an essential part for the proof of Theorem 5.7.

Lemma 5.4. *Let* $\alpha : P_i \to {}^\iota P_{n-i-1}^*$ *be an* $\mathbb{H}$*-map. Consider*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} P_{i+1} & \xrightarrow{d_i} & P_i \\ & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \alpha} \\ & & {}^\iota P_{n-i-1}^* \xrightarrow{{}^\iota d_{n-i-1}^*} {}^\iota P_{n-i}^* \end{array} .$$

We have $\mathrm{Tr}_i({}^\iota d_{n-i-1}^* \circ \alpha) = -(-1)^i \mathrm{Tr}_{i+1}(\alpha \circ d_i)$.

Proof. Define $\mathcal{D}_i, \mathcal{E}_i : P_{i+1} \to P_i$ as follows:

$$\mathcal{D}_i(h \otimes x \otimes v_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge v_{i+1}) = \sum_{j=1}^{i+1} (-1)^{j+1} h\widetilde{v_j} \otimes x \otimes v_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge \widehat{v_j} \wedge \ldots \wedge v_{i+1} \tag{5.17}$$

$$\mathcal{E}_i(h \otimes x \otimes v_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge v_{i+1}) = \sum_{j=1}^{i+1} (-1)^{j+1} h \otimes \widetilde{v}_j.x \otimes v_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge \widehat{v_j} \wedge \ldots \wedge v_{i+1} \tag{5.18}$$

Both $\mathcal{D}_i$ and $\mathcal{E}_i$ are well-defined W-maps (by Lemma 3.5). Moreover,

$$d_i = \mathcal{D}_i - \mathcal{E}_i.$$

We similarly define the maps: ${}^\iota\mathcal{D}_i, {}^\iota\mathcal{E}_i : {}^\iota P_{i+1} \to {}^\iota P_i$ and denote ${}^\iota\mathcal{D}_i^*, {}^\iota\mathcal{E}_i^* : {}^\iota P_i^* \to {}^\iota P_{i+1}^*$ for the daul maps. We also have ${}^\iota d_i^* = {}^\iota\mathcal{D}_i^* - {}^\iota\mathcal{E}_i^*$.

We need some more notations. Let $\wp_i : P_i \to \mathrm{Res}_W X \otimes \wedge^i V$ be a linear map such that $\wp_i \circ \vartheta_i = \mathrm{Id}_Q$.

Recall that ${}^\iota\vartheta_i^* : {}^\iota P_i^* \to (\mathrm{Res}_W X \otimes \wedge^i V)^\vee$. Let ${}^\iota\wp_i^* : (\mathrm{Res}_W X \otimes \wedge^i V)^\vee \to {}^\iota P_i^*$ be a linear map such that ${}^\iota\vartheta_i^* \circ {}^\iota\wp_i^* = \mathrm{Id}$.

It is straightforward to verify that

$$ {}^\iota\vartheta_{n-i-1}^* \circ \alpha \circ \mathcal{E}_i \circ \vartheta_{i+1} = {}^\iota\vartheta_{n-i-1}^* \circ \alpha \circ \vartheta_i \circ \wp_i \circ \mathcal{E}_i \circ \vartheta_{i+1}, \tag{5.19}$$

which follows from the fact that $\mathcal{E}_{n-i}$ sends the subspace $1 \otimes \mathrm{Res}_W X \otimes \wedge^{n-i+1} V$ to $1 \otimes \mathrm{Res}_W X \otimes \wedge^{n-i} V$. Similarly, we have

$$ {}^\iota\vartheta_{n-i}^* \circ {}^\iota\mathcal{E}_{n-i-1}^* \circ \alpha \circ \vartheta_i = {}^\iota\vartheta_{n-i}^* \circ {}^\iota\mathcal{E}_{n-i-1}^* \circ {}^\iota\wp_{n-i-1}^* \circ {}^\iota\vartheta_{n-i-1}^* \circ \alpha \circ \vartheta_i. \tag{5.20}$$

Now we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathrm{Tr}_{i+1}(\alpha \circ \mathcal{E}_i) \\
=&\mathrm{Tr}_{i+1}(\alpha \circ \vartheta_i \circ \wp_i \circ \mathcal{E}_i) \quad \text{by (5.19)} \\
=&-(-1)^i \mathrm{trace}((B_{i+1}^{-1} \circ {}^\iota\vartheta_{n-i-1}^* \circ \alpha \circ \vartheta_{n-i}) \circ (B_i^{-1} \circ {}^\iota\vartheta_{n-i}^* \circ {}^\iota\mathcal{E}_{n-i-1}^* \circ {}^\iota\wp_{n-i-1}^* \circ B_{i+1})) \\
&\qquad\qquad \text{(by Lemma 5.6 below)} \\
=&-(-1)^i \mathrm{trace}((B_i^{-1} \circ {}^\iota\vartheta_{n-i}^* \circ {}^\iota\mathcal{E}_{n-i-1}^* \circ {}^\iota\wp_{n-i-1}^* \circ B_{i+1}) \circ (B_{i+1}^{-1} \circ {}^\iota\vartheta_{n-i+1}^* \circ \alpha \circ \vartheta_{n-i})) \\
&\qquad\qquad \text{(by the fact } \mathrm{trace}(MN) = \mathrm{trace}(NM)) \\
=&-(-1)^i \mathrm{trace}(B_i^{-1} \circ {}^\iota\vartheta_{n-i}^* \circ {}^\iota\mathcal{E}_{n-i-1}^* \circ {}^\iota\wp_{n-i-1}^* \circ {}^\iota\vartheta_{i-1}^* \circ \alpha \circ \vartheta_i) \\
=&-(-1)^i \mathrm{trace}(B_i^{-1} \circ {}^\iota\vartheta_{n-i}^* \circ {}^\iota\mathcal{E}_{n-i-1}^* \circ \alpha \circ \vartheta_i) \quad \text{by (5.20)} \\
=&-(-1)^i \mathrm{Tr}_i({}^\iota\mathcal{E}_{n-i-1}^* \circ \alpha)
\end{aligned}$$

Now combining with Lemma 5.5 below, we have $\mathrm{Tr}_i({}^\iota d_{n-i-1}^* \circ \alpha) = -(-1)^i \mathrm{Tr}_{i+1}(\alpha \circ d_i)$. □

Lemma 5.5. *With the notations in the proof of Lemma 5.4,*

$$\mathrm{Tr}_i({}^\iota\mathcal{D}_{n-i-1}^* \circ \alpha) = -(-1)^i \mathrm{Tr}_{i+1}(\alpha \circ \mathcal{D}_i).$$

Proof. We fix a basis $x_1, \ldots, x_{\dim X}$ for X. Then $\{x_r \otimes e_{l_1} \wedge \ldots \wedge e_{l_i}\}_{1 \le r \le \dim X, 1 \le l_1 < \ldots < l_i \le n}$ forms a basis for $\mathrm{Res}_W X \otimes \wedge^i V$ and $\left\{x_r \otimes e_{l_1'} \wedge \ldots \wedge e_{l_{i+1}'}\right\}_{1 \le r \le \dim X, 1 \le l_1' < \ldots < l_{i+1}' \le n}$ forms a basis for $\mathrm{Res}_W X \otimes \wedge^{i+1} V$. Let $f_{x_1}, \ldots, f_{x_{\dim V}}$ be a basis for $\mathrm{Res}_W \iota(X)^\bullet$ dual to $x_1, \ldots, x_{\dim X}$ in the sense that $f_{x_r}(x_s) = \delta_{r,s}$.

Let $\mathcal{L}$ (resp. $\mathcal{L}'$) be the collection of elements $(l_1, \ldots, l_i)$ (resp. $(l_1', \ldots, l_{n-i+1}')$) in $\mathbb{Z}^i$ (resp. $\mathbb{Z}^{n-i+1}$) such that $1 \le l_1 < \ldots < l_i \le n$ (resp. $1 \le l_1' < \ldots < l_{i+1}' \le n$).

For $L = (l_1, \ldots, l_i) \in \mathcal{L}$, fix integers $k_1^L, \ldots, k_{n-k}^L$ such that

$$\langle e_{l_1} \wedge \ldots \wedge e_{l_i}, e_{k_1^L} \wedge \ldots \wedge e_{k_{n-i}^L} \rangle_{\wedge^i V} = 1.$$

For each k_j^L, let $N(k_j^L, L)$ be the unique integer such that $l_{N(k_j^L,L)-1} < k_j^L < l_{N(k_j^L,L)}$. For $L' \in \mathcal{L}'$, we similarly fix integers $k_1^{L'}, \ldots, k_{i-1}^{L'}$ and define $N(k_j^{L'}, L')$.

We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\mathrm{Tr}_i({}^\iota\mathcal{D}^*_{n-i-1}\circ\alpha)\\
=&\sum_{\substack{1\le r\le \dim X\\ L=(l_1,\dots,l_i)\in\mathcal{L}}} {}^\iota\mathcal{D}^*_{n-i-1}\circ\alpha(1\otimes x_r\otimes e_{l_1}\wedge\ldots\wedge e_{l_i})(1\otimes f_{x_r}\otimes e_{k_1^L}\wedge\ldots\wedge e_{k_{n-i}^L})\\
=&\sum_{\substack{1\le r\le \dim X\\ L=(l_1,\dots,l_i)\in\mathcal{L}}} \alpha(1\otimes x_r\otimes e_{l_1}\wedge\ldots\wedge e_{l_i})(\sum_{j=1}^{n-i}(-1)^{j+1}\widetilde{e}_{k_j}\otimes f_{x_r}\otimes e_{k_1^L}\wedge\ldots\wedge\widehat{e}_{k_j^L}\wedge\ldots\wedge e_{k_{n-i}^L})\\
=&-\sum_{\substack{1\le r\le \dim X\\ L=(l_1,\dots,l_i)\in\mathcal{L}}}\sum_{j=1}^{n-i}(-1)^{j+1}\alpha(\widetilde{e}_{k_j}\otimes x_k\otimes e_{l_1}\wedge\ldots\wedge e_{l_i})(1\otimes f_{x_r}\otimes e_{k_1^L}\wedge\ldots\wedge\widehat{e}_{k_j^L}\wedge\ldots\wedge e_{k_{n-i}^L})\\
=&-(-1)^i\sum_{j=1}^{n-i}\sum_{\substack{1\le r\le \dim X\\ L=(l_1,\dots,l_i)\in\mathcal{L}}}(-1)^{N(k_j,L)+1}\alpha(\widetilde{e}_{k_j}\otimes x_r\otimes e_{l_1}\wedge\ldots\wedge e_{l_i})\\
&\qquad(1\otimes f_{x_r}\otimes e_{k_1}^{l_1,\dots,l_{N(k_j^L,L)-1},l_{N(k_j^L,L)},\dots,l_i}\wedge\ldots\wedge e_{k_{n-i}}^{l_1,\dots,l_{N(k_j^L,L)-1},l_{N(k_j^L,L)},\dots,l_i})\\
=&-(-1)^i\sum_{\substack{1\le r\le \dim X\\ L'=(l'_1,\dots,l'_{i+1})\in\mathcal{L}'}}(-1)^{j+1}\alpha(\widetilde{e}_{k_j}\otimes x_r\otimes e_{l'_1}\wedge\ldots\wedge\widehat{e}_{l'_j}\wedge\ldots\wedge e_{l'_{i+1}})(1\otimes f_{x_r}\otimes e_{k_1^{L'}}\wedge\ldots\wedge e_{k_{n-i-1}^{L'}})\\
=&-(-1)^i\mathrm{Tr}_{i+1}(\alpha\circ\mathcal{D}_i)
\end{aligned}
$$

The first equality follows from the fact that

(5.21)

$$
B(x_r\otimes e_{l_1}\wedge\ldots\wedge e_{l_{n-i}})(f_{x_{r'}}\otimes e_{k_1^L}\wedge\ldots\wedge e_{k_i^L})=\begin{cases}1 & \text{if } r=r' \text{ and } \{l_1,\dots,l_{n-i}\}=L\\ 0 & \text{otherwise}\end{cases},
$$

The last equality uses a similar equation as (5.21). The third equality uses the fact that $\widetilde{e}_l^*=-\widetilde{e}_l$ and α is an $\mathbb{H}$-map. □

Lemma 5.6. *With the notations in the proof of Lemma 5.4,*

$$
{}^\iota\vartheta^*_{n-i}\circ{}^\iota\mathcal{E}^*_{n-i-1}\circ{}^\iota\wp^*_{n-i-1}\circ B_{i+1}=-(-1)^iB_i\circ\wp_i\circ\mathcal{E}_i\circ\vartheta_{i+1}.
$$

Proof.

$$
\begin{aligned}
&({}^\iota\vartheta^*_{n-i}\circ{}^\iota\mathcal{E}^*_{n-i-1}\circ{}^\iota\wp^*_{n-i-1}\circ B_{i+1}(x\otimes e_{k_1}\wedge\ldots\wedge e_{k_{i+1}}))(f\otimes e_{l_1}\wedge\ldots\wedge e_{l_{n-i}})\\
=&B_{i+1}(x\otimes e_{k_1}\wedge\ldots\wedge e_{k_{i+1}})(\sum_{j=1}^{n-i}(-1)^{j+1}\widetilde{e}_{l_j}.f\otimes e_{l_1}\wedge\ldots\wedge\widehat{e}_{l_j}\wedge\ldots\wedge e_{l_{n-i}})\\
=&-\sum_{j=i}^{n}(-1)^{j+1}B_{i+1}(\widetilde{e}_{l_j}.x\otimes e_{k_1}\wedge\ldots\wedge e_{k_{i+1}}))(f\otimes e_{l_1}\wedge\ldots\wedge\widehat{e}_{l_j}\wedge\ldots\wedge e_{l_{n-i}})\\
=&-(-1)^iB_i(\sum_{j=1}^{i+1}(-1)^{j+1}\widetilde{e}_{k_j}.x\otimes e_{k_1}\wedge\ldots\wedge\widehat{e}_{k_j}\wedge\ldots\wedge e_{k_{i+1}}))(f\otimes e_{l_1}\wedge\ldots\wedge\widehat{e}_{l_j}\wedge\ldots\wedge e_{l_{n-i}})\\
=&-(-1)^i(B_i\circ\wp_i\circ\mathcal{E}_i\circ\vartheta_{i+1}(x\otimes e_{k_1}\wedge\ldots\wedge e_{k_{i+1}}))(f\otimes e_{l_1}\wedge\ldots\wedge e_{l_{n-i}})
\end{aligned}
$$

In the second equality, we used the fact that $(\widetilde{v}_j.f)(x) = f(\iota(\widetilde{v}_j)^\bullet.x) = -f(\widetilde{v}_j.x)$ (where $\widetilde{v}_j$ acts on x by the action of $\mathbb{H}$ on X). □

5.4. **Duality for the Yoneda product.** We retain the notations in Sections 5.2 and 5.3. Recall that $\mathbb{D}$ is defined in Definition 4.18.

Theorem 5.7. *Let $\mathbb{H}$ be the graded affine Hecke algebra associated to a root datum $(R, V, R^\vee, V^\vee, \Pi)$ and a parameter function $k : \Pi \to \mathbb{C}$ (Definition 2.1). Let X and Y be finite-dimensional $\mathbb{H}$-modules. Let $n = \dim V$. Then*

(1) $\mathrm{Ext}^n_{\mathbb{H}}(X, \mathbb{D}(X)) \neq 0$;
(2) *the Yoneda product*

$$\mathscr{Y}_i : \mathrm{Ext}^{n-i}_{\mathbb{H}}(Y, \mathbb{D}(X)) \otimes \mathrm{Ext}^i_{\mathbb{H}}(X, Y) \to \mathrm{Ext}^n_{\mathbb{H}}(X, \mathbb{D}(X))$$

is a nondegenerate pairing;
(3) *there exists a linear functional $\mathscr{D}_X : \mathrm{Ext}^n_{\mathbb{H}}(X, \mathbb{D}(X)) \to \mathbb{C}$ such that $\mathscr{D}_X \circ \mathscr{Y}_i$ agrees with the pairing in Theorem 4.15 via the identification $\mathrm{Ext}^{n-i}_{\mathbb{H}}(Y, \mathbb{D}(X)) \cong \mathrm{Ext}^{n-i}_{\mathbb{H}}(X^*, \iota(Y)^\bullet)$ using Proposition 4.10.*

Proof. For (1), using Theorem 4.15 and Proposition 4.10, we have

$$\mathrm{dim}\mathrm{Ext}^n_{\mathbb{H}}(X, \mathbb{D}(X)) = \mathrm{dim}\mathrm{Ext}^n_{\mathbb{H}}(X^*, \iota(X^\bullet)) = \dim \mathrm{Hom}(X, X).$$

Hence $\mathrm{Ext}^n_{\mathbb{H}}(X, \mathbb{D}(X)) \neq 0$. This shows (1).

We now consider (2). Let $\eta \in \ker d_i^\vee \setminus \mathrm{im} d_{i-1}^\vee$, which is a representative of a non-zero element in $\mathrm{Ext}^i_{\mathbb{H}}(X, Y)$. Let $P_\bullet \to X$ be a projective resolution of X. Then the associated long exact sequence has a commutative diagram with maps F_k of the following form:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccccc} & & P_i & \longrightarrow & P_{i-1} & \longrightarrow & \cdots\cdots & \longrightarrow & P_0 & \longrightarrow & X & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \eta} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle F_{i-1}} & & & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle F_0} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle id} & & \\ \mathrm{Yon}(\eta): & 0 & \longrightarrow & Y & \longrightarrow & Z_i & \longrightarrow & \cdots\cdots & \longrightarrow & Z_1 & \longrightarrow & X & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

To obtain the theorem, it is equivalent to show that there exists $\eta' \in \ker {}^\iota d_{n-i}^\vee \setminus \mathrm{im}\, {}^\iota d_{n-i-1}^\vee$ such that the Yoneda product of η and η' is non-zero. For the remaining proof for (2), we shall divide into three steps.

Step 1: Construct an element in $\mathrm{Ext}^{n-i}_{\mathbb{H}}(Y, \mathbb{D}(X))$ and the Yoneda product with η

By Proposition 5.3, there exists $\eta' \in \ker {}^\iota d_{n-i}^\vee \setminus \mathrm{im}\, {}^\iota d_{n-i-1}^\vee$ such that $\mathrm{Tr}_i((\eta')^* \circ \eta) \neq 0$. Let ${}^\iota P_\bullet \to \iota(X)^\bullet$ be a projective resolution of $\iota(X)^\bullet$. The associated long exact sequence $\mathrm{Yon}(\eta')$ of η' is of the following form:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccccccc} & 0 & \longleftarrow & \iota(X)^\bullet & \longleftarrow & {}^\iota P_0 & \xleftarrow{{}^\iota d_0} & \cdots\cdots & \longleftarrow & {}^\iota P_{n-i-1} & \xleftarrow{{}^\iota d_{n-i-1}} & {}^\iota P_{n-i} & \longleftarrow & \cdots \\ & & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle id} & & \downarrow & & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \eta'} & & \\ \mathrm{Yon}(\eta'): & 0 & \longleftarrow & \iota(X)^\bullet & \longleftarrow & {}^\iota Z_1 & \xleftarrow{f_1} & \cdots\cdots & \xleftarrow{f_{n-i-1}} & {}^\iota Z_{n-i} & \xleftarrow{f_{n-i}} & Y^* & \longleftarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

with those ${}^\iota Z_k$ and f_k $(k = 1, \dots, n-i)$ is defined from the pushout so that we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} {}^\iota Z_k & \xleftarrow{p_k} & \mathrm{coker} f_{k+1} \\ \uparrow{\scriptstyle q_k} & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle \eta'_k} \\ {}^\iota P_{k-1} & \xleftarrow[{}^\iota d_{k-1}]{} & {}^\iota P_k \end{array},$$

where $f_{n-i+1} : 0 \to Y^*$ is defined as the trivial map, and $f_k : {}^\iota Z_{k+1} \to {}^\iota Z_k$ is defined inductively as the composition of the projection ${}^\iota Z_{k+1} \to \mathrm{coker} f_{k+1}$ and $\mathrm{coker} f_{k+1} \xrightarrow{p_k} {}^\iota Z_k$, and η'_k is defined as

$$\eta'_{k-1} : {}^\iota P_{k-1} \xrightarrow{q_k} {}^\iota Z_k \to \mathrm{coker} f_k$$

Here we set ${}^\iota Z_{n-i+1} = Y^*$.

Since $*$ is a contravariant exact functor, we then have the following exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{D}(X) \longrightarrow {}^\iota Z_1^* \xrightarrow{f_1^*} \cdots\cdots \longrightarrow {}^\iota Z_{n-i}^* \xrightarrow{f_{n-i}^*} Y \longrightarrow 0 \tag{5.22}$$

Furthermore, ${}^\iota Z_k^*$ $(k = 0, \dots, n-i)$ is isomorphic to the pull-back of ${}^\iota d_{k-1}^* : {}^\iota P_{k-1}^* \to {}^\iota P_k^*$ and $(\eta')^* : Y^* \to {}^\iota P_k^*$. In particular, we have commutative diagram of the following form:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} {}^\iota Z_k^* & \xrightarrow{p_k^*} & \ker f_{k+1}^* \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle q_k^*} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle (\eta'_k)^*} \\ {}^\iota P_{k-1}^* & \xrightarrow{{}^\iota d_{k-1}^*} & {}^\iota P_k^* \end{array},$$

where $(\eta'_k)^*$, p_k^*, q_k^* and f_k^* are the corresponding dual maps of η'_k, p_k, q_k and f_k respectively. Here we also identify naturally $\ker f_{k+1}^*$ with $(\mathrm{coker} f_{k+1})^*$.

From above, the Yoneda product of the associated long exact sequences of $(\eta')^*$ and η is equivalent to the exact sequence of the following form:

$$S : \quad 0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{D}(X) \longrightarrow {}^\iota Z_1^* \longrightarrow \cdots\cdots \longrightarrow {}^\iota Z_{n-i}^* \longrightarrow Z_i \longrightarrow \cdots\cdots \longrightarrow Z_1 \longrightarrow X \longrightarrow 0$$

In order to show the Yoneda product of $(\eta')^*$ and η gives rise a non-zero element in $\mathrm{Ext}^n_{\mathbb{H}}(X, \mathbb{D}(X))$, we have to construct a morphism from the sequence $P_\bullet \to X \to 0$ to the long exact sequence S:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccccccccc} & 0 & \longrightarrow & P_n & \longrightarrow & P_{n-1} & \longrightarrow \cdots\cdots \longrightarrow & P_i & \longrightarrow & P_{i-1} & \longrightarrow \cdots\cdots \longrightarrow & P_0 & \longrightarrow & X & \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle F_{i-1}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle F_0} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle id} & \\ S : & 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{D}(X) & \longrightarrow & {}^\iota Z_1^* & \longrightarrow \cdots\cdots \longrightarrow & {}^\iota Z_{n-i}^* & \longrightarrow & Z_i & \longrightarrow \cdots\cdots \longrightarrow & Z_1 & \longrightarrow & X & \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

Set ${}^\iota Z_0^* = \ker f_1^* \cong \mathbb{D}(X)$ for convenience. We next construct maps $F_k : P_k \to {}^\iota Z_{n-k}^*$ $(k = i, \dots, n)$ so that the above diagram is commutative and carries information about Tr for those F_k. Using a property of Tr_n for F_n , we shall conclude $S = \mathrm{Yon}^n_{X,\mathbb{D}(X)}(F_n)$ is a non-zero element.

Step 2: Construct maps $F_k : P_k \to {}^\iota Z^*_{n-k}$

Consider the following commutative diagram:



We have

(1) $\mathrm{im}(\eta')^* \subset \mathrm{im}\, {}^\iota d^*_{n-i-1}$ because $\ker {}^\iota d^*_{n-i} = \mathrm{im}\, {}^\iota d^*_{n-i-1}$ and $(\eta')^* \in \ker {}^\iota d^{\vee,*}_{n-i}$.

(2) By (1) and P_i being projective, there exists a map $\widetilde{F}_i : P_i \to {}^\iota P^*_{n-i-1}$ such that ${}^\iota d^*_{n-i-1} \circ \widetilde{F}_i = (\eta')^* \circ \eta$.

(3) By the universal property of pullback, there exists $F_i : P_i \to {}^\iota Z^*_{n-i}$ with the following commutative diagram:



(4) We have $\mathrm{im}(F_i \circ d_i) \subset \ker f^*_{n-i}$ because $(p^*_i \circ F_i) \circ d_i = \eta \circ d_i = 0$, where the last equality follows from $\eta \in \ker d^\vee_i$.

(5) By (4) and P_{i+1} being projective, there exists a map $\eta_{i+1} : P_{i+1} \to \ker f^*_{n-i}$ such that the following diagram is commutative:



where I_{n-i} is the natural inclusion map. By definition, $q^*_{n-i} \circ I_{n-i} = (\eta'_{n-i-1})^*$.

(6) $\mathrm{Tr}_{i+1}((\eta'_{n-i-1})^* \circ \eta_{i+1}) = \mathrm{Tr}_{i+1}(\widetilde{F}_i \circ d_i) = \mathrm{Tr}_i({}^\iota d^*_{n-i-1} \circ \widetilde{F}_i) = \mathrm{Tr}_i((\eta')^* \circ \eta) \neq 0$, where the first equality follows from (5) and the second equality follows from Lemma 5.4.

(7) $\eta_{i+1} \in \ker d^\vee_{i+1}$ because $I_{n-i} \circ \eta_{i+1} \circ d_{i+1} = F_i \circ d_i \circ d_{i+1} = 0$ and I_{n-i} is injective. Moreover, by definition, $(\eta'_{n-i-1})^* \in \ker {}^\iota d^{\vee,*}_{n-i-1}$ because ${}^\iota d^*_{n-i-1} \circ (\eta'_{n-i-1})^* = (\eta')^* \circ p^*_{n-i} \circ I_{n-i}$ and $p^*_{n-i} \circ I_{n-i} = 0$ (by definition of f^*_{n-i} for the last equation). (By abuse of notation, here the map $d^\vee_{i+1}$ denotes for a map from $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{H}}(\mathbb{H} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[W]} (\mathrm{Res}_W X \otimes \wedge^{i+1} V), \ker f^*_{n-i})$ to $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{H}}(\mathbb{H} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[W]} (\mathrm{Res}_W X \otimes \wedge^i V), \ker f^*_{n-i})$.)

(8) Now $\ker f_{n-i}^*$ replaces the role of Y; $(\eta'_{n-i-1})^*$ and η_{i+1} replace the roles of $(\eta')^*$ and η respectively; P_{i+1} and ${}^\iota P_{n-i-1}$ replaces the roles of P_i and ${}^\iota P_{n-i}$ respectively. With (6) and (7), we can repeat the argument from (1) to (7). Inductively, we obtain maps, for $k = i, \dots, n-1$, $\eta_{k+1} : P_{k+1} \to \ker f_{n-k}^*$ and $\widetilde{F}_k : P_k \to {}^\iota P_{n-k-1}^*$ and $F_k : P_k \to {}^\iota Z_{n-k}^*$. Furthermore, we have $\mathrm{Tr}_k((\eta'_{n-k-1})^* \circ \eta_{k+1}) \neq 0$.

(9) From the map $\eta_n : P_n \to \ker f_1^*$ and $\ker f_1^* \cong \mathbb{D}(X)$, we obtain a map $F_n : P_n \to \mathbb{D}(X)$.

(10) From our construction, F_i completes the commutative diagram.

Step 3: Show that $S = \mathrm{Yon}^n_{X,\mathbb{D}(X)}(F_n) \neq 0$

It is equivalent to show $\mathrm{Yon}^n_{X,\ker d_0^*}(\eta_n) \neq 0$. Suppose

$$\eta_n \in \mathrm{im}(d_{n-1}^\vee : \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{H}}(\mathbb{H}\otimes_{\mathbb{C}[W]}(\mathrm{Res}_W X \otimes \wedge^{n-1} V), \ker f_1^*) \to \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{H}}(\mathbb{H}\otimes_{\mathbb{C}[W]}(\mathrm{Res}_W X \otimes \wedge^n V), \ker f_1^*))$$

Then $\eta_n = d_{n-1}^\vee(\overline{\eta})$ for some $\overline{\eta} \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{H}}(\mathbb{H} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[W]} (\mathrm{Res}_W X \otimes \wedge^{n-1} V), \ker d_0^*)$. Then $\mathrm{Tr}_n((\eta'_0)^* \circ d_{n-1}^\vee \overline{\eta}) = \mathrm{Tr}_{n-1}(({}^\iota d_0^{\vee,*}((\eta'_0)^*) \circ \overline{\eta}) = 0$ by equation (5.16) and $(\eta'_0)^* \in \ker f_1^*$, giving a contradiction to our construction (see (6) and (8) in step 2). This implies that $\eta_n \notin \mathrm{im}\, d_{n-1}^\vee$ and hence $\mathrm{Yon}^n_{X,\ker d_0^*}(\eta_n) \neq 0$ as desired. This completes the proof for (2).

We now consider (3). Via the exact sequence in (5.22), there is a natural isomorphism $f_0^* : \mathbb{D}(X) \to \ker f_1^*$. Define the map $\mathscr{D}_X : \mathrm{Ext}^n_{\mathbb{H}}(X, \mathbb{D}(X)) \to \mathbb{C}$ as follows: for $\eta_n \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{H}}(\mathbb{H} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[W]} (\mathrm{Res}_W X \otimes \wedge^n V), \mathbb{D}(X))$, define

$$\mathscr{D}_X(\eta_n) = \mathrm{Tr}_n({}^\iota d_{-1}^{\vee,*} \circ \eta_n),$$

where ${}^\iota d_{-1}^{\vee,*} : \mathbb{D}(X) \to {}^\iota P_0^*$ is the natural map induced from the surjective map ${}^\iota P_0 \to \iota(X^\bullet)$. Note that

$$\mathscr{D}_X(\eta_n) = \mathrm{Tr}_n((\eta'_0)^* \circ f_0^* \circ \eta_n). \tag{5.23}$$

by using a commutative diagram. Then using the argument given in the first paragraph of Step 3 above, we can show that $\mathscr{D}_X(\eta) = \mathrm{Tr}_n((\eta'_0)^* \circ f_0^* \circ \eta) = 0$ for $\eta \in \mathrm{im}\ d_{n-1}^\vee$. Recall that

$$\mathrm{Ext}^n_{\mathbb{H}}(X, \mathbb{D}(X)) \cong \frac{\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{H}}(\mathbb{H} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[W]} (\mathrm{Res}_W X \otimes \wedge^n V), \mathbb{D}(X))}{\mathrm{im}\ d_{n-1}^\vee}.$$

Hence $\mathscr{D}_X$ descents to a map $\mathrm{Ext}^n_{\mathbb{H}}(X, \mathbb{D}(X)) \to \mathbb{C}$ (i.e. independent of choice of a representative). It remains to see $\mathscr{D}_X \circ \mathscr{Y}_i$ coincides with the pairing in Theorem 4.15. To this end, using (5.23) and Step 2 (6) and (8) above, we have

$$\mathrm{Tr}_i((\eta')^* \circ \eta) = \mathrm{Tr}_n((\eta'_0)^* \circ f_0^* \circ \eta_n) = \mathscr{D}_X(\eta_n),$$

where $\eta_n = \mathscr{Y}_i(\eta', \eta)$. (Note that in our construction for (2), we assume η' is specially picked in Step 1. In fact, all the construction can still work for arbitrary η' and the only thing we do not have is $\mathrm{Tr}_i((\eta')^* \circ \eta) \neq 0$.) From our construction in Section 5.2, we have Tr_i is a non-zero scalar multiple of the bilinear form in Theorem 4.15. This proves (3). □

Example 5.8. (1) When $\mathbb{H} = S(V)$, $\mathrm{Ext}^*_{S(V)}(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C}) \cong \wedge^* V$, where $\mathbb{C}$ is regarded as the trivial $S(V)$-module i.e. $v.x = 0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{C}$. The Yoneda product agrees with the natural product structure on $\wedge^* V$.

(2) Let R be of type G_2, let V be the space spanned by R, and let $k \equiv 1$. Let α, β be the simple roots of R with $\langle \alpha, \beta^\vee \rangle = -1$ and $\langle \beta, \alpha^\vee \rangle = -3$. We consider modules of the central character $\alpha^\vee + \beta^\vee$, in which the tempered modules correspond to the subregular nilpotent orbit under the Kazhdan-Lusztig classification. For such central character, there exists a unique irreducible discrete series, denoted DS, which contains the sign representation as a $\mathbb{C}[W]$-module, and there exists a unique simple module, denoted by Z which is invariant under the Iwahori-Matsumoto involution ι. We have

$$\mathrm{Ext}^i_{\mathbb{H}}(DS, Z) \cong \mathrm{Ext}^i_{\mathbb{H}}(Z, DS) \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{C} & \text{if } i = 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

(see [10, Section 8.2]). Then we have non-trivial extensions of DS by Z and Z by DS respectively as follows:

$$0 \to DS \to X_1 \to Z \to 0, \quad 0 \to Z \to X_2 \to DS \to 0.$$

By considering the Yoneda product and Theorem 5.7, we obtain a long exact sequence:

$$0 \to Z \to X_2 \to X_1 \to Z \to 0,$$

corresponding to a non-zero element in $\mathrm{Ext}^2_{\mathbb{H}}(Z, Z)$. (However, if we reverse the order of multiplication in the Yoneda product, then the long exact sequence

$$0 \to DS \to X_1 \to X_2 \to DS \to 0$$

corresponds to the zero element in $\mathrm{Ext}^2_{\mathbb{H}}(DS, DS)$.)

Here is an application of Theorem 5.7, which cannot be merely deduced from Theorem 4.15.

Corollary 5.9. *In the notation of Example 5.8, there is no indecomposable module of length* 3 *whose composition factors are two copies of Z and one copy of DS.*

Proof. To show this, suppose there exists such module, say M of length 3 with those composition factors. Then one may consider all the possible radical filtration for M to obtain a contradiction. Here we only consider that M has radical filtration rad^i: $\mathrm{rad}^0/\mathrm{rad}^1 \cong Z$, $\mathrm{rad}^1/\mathrm{rad}^2 \cong DS$, $\mathrm{rad}^2 \cong Z$ and we shall show such M is impossible to exist. (Other cases are relatively easier.) We have the exact sequence:

$$0 \to Z \to \mathrm{rad}^1 \to DS \to 0.$$

Applying the $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{H}}(Z, .)$-functor, we have the following long exact sequence:

$$\ldots \to \mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathbb{H}}(Z, Z) \to \mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathbb{H}}(Z, \mathrm{rad}^1) \to \mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathbb{H}}(Z, DS) \xrightarrow{\partial} \mathrm{Ext}^2_{\mathbb{H}}(Z, Z) \to \ldots$$

The connecting homomorphism ∂ is the Yoneda product $\mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathbb{H}}(DS, Z) \otimes$ [27, Ch III Theorem 9.1] and hence according to the discussion in Example 5.8 (which uses Theorem 5.7), ∂ is injective. Thus $\mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathbb{H}}(Z, \mathrm{rad}^1) = 0$, which contradicts to the existence of such M. □

6. Ind-Res resolution and Aubert-type involution

Motivated from studies in [36, Section 10], [37, Section III.3, Section IV.4] and [19], it is natural to relate the dual module $\mathbb{D}(X)$ (Definition 4.18) with an involution arising from Ind-Res functors. Such involution is an analogue of an involution for p-adic groups studied in [3] by Aubert. The Ind-Res functors also give rise a resolution which will be used to compute extensions of discrete series in next section.

The approach in this section is very close to the one for affine Hecke algebras in [19] by Kato.

6.1. **Coxeter complex.** In this section, we review a complex on a sphere which arises from the hyperplane arrangement of the reflection representation.

Let V' be the W-subspace of V spanned by $\alpha \in \Pi$ so that V' is the reflection representation of W. Let $\mathcal{F}C$ be the closed fundamental chamber of the action of W on V' i.e.

$$\mathcal{F}C = \{v \in V' : \langle v, \alpha^\vee \rangle \geq 0 \text{ for all } \alpha \in \Pi \}.$$

Let $m = |\Pi|$. We project naturally the $\mathcal{F}C$ to the unit $(m-1)$-dimensional sphere in V', and for $\alpha \in \Pi$, we denote $v_\alpha \in V'$ to be the image of the projection of the line $\bigcap_{\beta \in \Pi \setminus \{\alpha\}} H_\beta$, where H_β is the hyperplane perpendicular to β. Write $\Pi = \{\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_m\}$, and by which, we also have fixed an ordering of simple roots. Set $v_i = v_{\alpha_i}$. Then we also have an ordering on vertices $v_1, \ldots, v_m$ and hence obtain an ordered $(m-1)$-simplex $[v_1, \ldots, v_m]$ from the projection of $\mathcal{F}\mathcal{C}$. By taking W-actions, we obtain other $(m-1)$-simplexes with ordered vertices $[w(v_1), \ldots, w(v_m)]$ $(w \in W)$ on the unit $(m-1)$-sphere. Those $(m-1)$-simplexes form an ordered simplicial complex on the $(m-1)$-sphere.

We need some more notations for next subsection. For each $J \subset \Pi$, write $\Pi \setminus J = \left\{\alpha_{i_1^J}, \ldots, \alpha_{i_k^J}\right\}$ with $i_1^J < \ldots < i_k^J$. Let $\Delta^J = [v_{i_1^J}, \ldots, v_{i_k^J}]$ be an $(m - |J| - 1)$-simplex. For $J \subset J' \subset \Pi$ with $|J'| = |J| + 1$, let j be the unique index (depending on J and J') such that $\alpha_{i_j^{J'}} \in \left\{\alpha_{i_1^J}, \ldots, \alpha_{i_{m-|J|}^J}\right\} \setminus \left\{\alpha_{i_1^{J'}}, \ldots, \alpha_{i_{m-|J'|}^{J'}}\right\}$. We then set $\epsilon_J^{J'} = (-1)^{j+1}$.

Let $C_k^\Delta(X)$ be the free abelian group of the k-simplexes $w(\Delta^J) := [w(v_{i_1^J}), \ldots, w(v_{i_{k+1}^J})]$ for all $J \subset \Pi$ with $|J| = m - k - 1$ and all $w \in W$.

6.2. **Notation for parabolic subalgebras of $\mathbb{H}$.**

Notation 6.1. For any subset J of Π, define V_J to be the complex subspace of V spanned by vectors in J and define $V_J^\vee$ be the dual space of V_J lying in $V^\vee$. Let $R_J = V_J \cap R$ and let $R_J^\vee = V_J^\vee \cap R^\vee$. Let W_J be the subgroup of W generated by the elements s_α for $\alpha \in J$. For $J \subset \Pi$, let W_J be the subgroup of W generated by all s_α with $\alpha \in J$. Let $w_{0,J}$ be the longest element in W_J. Let W^J be the set of minimal representatives in the cosets in W/W_J.

For $J \subset \Pi$, let $\mathbb{H}_J$ be the subalgebra of $\mathbb{H}$ generated by all $v \in V$ and t_w $(w \in W_J)$. Note $\mathbb{H}_J$ is the graded affine Hecke algebra associated to the root datum $(R_J, V, R_J^\vee, V^\vee, J)$.

6.3. **Ind-Res resolution.** We keep using the notation in Section 6.1. Let X be an $\mathbb{H}$-module. For $J \subset \Pi$, let

$$C_J(X) = \mathrm{Ind}_{\mathbb{H}_J}^{\mathbb{H}} \mathrm{Res}_{\mathbb{H}_J} X := \mathbb{H} \otimes_{\mathbb{H}_J} (\mathrm{Res}_{\mathbb{H}_J} X).$$

Let

$$C_i(X) = \bigoplus_{|J|=i} C_J(X).$$

For $J \subset J' \subset \Pi$, define the natural map

$$\pi_J^{J'} : \mathrm{Ind}_{\mathbb{H}_J}^{\mathbb{H}} \mathrm{Res}_{\mathbb{H}_J} X \to \mathrm{Ind}_{\mathbb{H}_{J'}}^{\mathbb{H}} \mathrm{Res}_{\mathbb{H}_{J'}} X, \quad h \otimes x \mapsto \epsilon_J^{J'} h \otimes x,$$

where $\epsilon_J^{J'}$ is an appropiate choice of ± 1. Define

$$\pi_i = \bigoplus_{|J|=i} \bigoplus_{\substack{J \subset J' \subset \Pi \\ |J'|=i+1}} \pi_J^{J'} : C_i(X) \to C_{i+1}(X).$$

Using $C_m(X) = X$, we have the following sequence of maps, which will be proven to be exact:

$$0 \to \ker \pi_0 \to C_0(X) \overset{\pi_0}{\to} C_1(X) \overset{\pi_1}{\to} \dots \overset{\pi_{m-2}}{\to} C_{m-1}(X) \overset{\pi_{m-1}}{\to} X \to 0. \tag{6.24}$$

We now fix a non-zero $z \in X$. Let $C_J(X, z)$ be the linear subspace of $\mathbb{H} \otimes_{\mathbb{H}_J} \mathrm{Res}_{\mathbb{H}_J} X$ spanned by $t_w \otimes t_w^{-1}.z$ ($w \in W$). Let $C_i(X, z) \cong \bigoplus_{|J|=i} C_J(X, z)$ be the natural linear subspace of $C_i(X)$. Then π_i induces a linear map $\pi_i^z : C_i(X, z) \to C_{i-1}(X, z)$. We now define another linear isomorphism $\varpi_J : C_J(X, z) \to C_J^\Delta(X)$ characterized by

$$t_w \otimes t_w^{-1}.z \mapsto [w(v_{i_1^J}), \dots, w(v_{i_k^J})].$$

Then those ϖ_J induce a map $\varpi_i : C_i(X, z) \to C_i^\Delta(X)$. It is straightforward to verify that $\varpi_{i+1}^{-1} \circ \pi_i \circ \varpi_i$ is the differential for the simplicial complexes formed by $C_i^\Delta(X)$. Thus by the (reduced) homology of a sphere,

$$0 \to \ker \pi_0^z \to C_0(X, z) \overset{\pi_0^z}{\to} C_1(X, z) \overset{\pi_1^z}{\to} \dots \overset{\pi_{m-2}^z}{\to} C_{m-1}(X, z) \overset{\pi_{m-1}^z}{\to} C_m(X, z) \to 0.$$

is a long exact sequence. Hence, we have:

Proposition 6.2. *Let X be an $\mathbb{H}$-module. (6.24) is a resolution for X (i.e. (6.24) is a long exact sequence).*

6.4. **Aubert involution.** For any $x \in X$, define a linear map $\chi : X \to \mathbb{H} \otimes_{S(V)} \mathrm{Res}_{S(V)} X$ characterized by $\chi(x) = \sum_{w \in W} (-1)^{l(w)} t_w \otimes t_w^{-1}.x$. Note that χ is injective.

Lemma 6.3. *Let $x \in X$.*

1. *For a simple reflection s, $t_s.\chi(x) = -\chi(t_s.x) = \chi(\iota(t_s^\bullet)^*.x)$.*
2. *For $v \in V$, $v.\chi(x) = \chi(\iota(v^\bullet)^*.x)$.*

In particular, $\mathrm{im}\,\chi$ *is $\mathbb{H}$-invariant and* $\mathrm{im}\,\chi \cong \mathbb{D}(X)$.

Proof. (1) is straightforward. For (2),

$$\begin{aligned}
&v.\chi(x)\\
&=\sum_{w\in W}(-1)^{l(w)}vt_w\otimes t_w^{-1}.x\\
&=\sum_{w\in W}(-1)^{l(w)}vt_w\otimes t_w^{-1}.x\\
&=\sum_{w\in W}(-1)^{l(w)}t_w\left(w^{-1}(v)-\sum_{\alpha>0,w(\alpha)<0}k_\alpha\langle w^{-1}(v),\alpha^\vee\rangle t_{s_\alpha}\right)\otimes t_w^{-1}.x\\
&=\sum_{w\in W}(-1)^{l(w)}t_w\otimes w^{-1}(v)t_{w^{-1}}.x-\sum_{w\in W}\sum_{\alpha>0,w(\alpha)<0}(-1)^{l(w)}k_\alpha\langle w^{-1}(v),\alpha^\vee\rangle t_wt_{s_\alpha}\otimes t_w^{-1}.x\\
&=\sum_{w\in W}(-1)^{l(w)}t_w\otimes w^{-1}(v)t_w^{-1}.x-\sum_{w\in W}\sum_{\alpha>0,w(\alpha)>0}(-1)^{l(ws_\alpha)+1}k_\alpha\langle w^{-1}(v),\alpha^\vee\rangle t_w\otimes t_{s_\alpha}t_w^{-1}.x
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we also have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\chi(t_{w_0}\theta(v)t_{w_0}^{-1}.x)\\
&=\sum_{w\in W}(-1)^{l(w)}t_w\otimes t_w^{-1}t_{w_0}\theta(v)t_{w_0}^{-1}.x\\
&=\sum_{w\in W}(-1)^{l(w)}t_w\otimes t_{w^{-1}w_0}\theta(v)t_{w_0}^{-1}.x\\
&=\sum_{w\in W}(-1)^{l(w)}t_w\otimes\left(-w^{-1}(v)-\sum_{\alpha>0,w_0w(\alpha)<0}k_\alpha\langle -w^{-1}(v),\alpha^\vee\rangle t_{s_\alpha}\right)t_w^{-1}.x\quad(\text{by } w_0\theta(v)=-v)\\
&=-\sum_{w\in W}(-1)^{l(w)}t_w\otimes w^{-1}(v)t_w^{-1}.x-\sum_{w\in W}(-1)^{l(w)}\sum_{\alpha>0,w(\alpha)>0}k_\alpha\langle -w^{-1}(v),\alpha^\vee\rangle t_w\otimes t_{s_\alpha}t_w^{-1}.x\\
&=-\sum_{w\in W}(-1)^{l(w)}t_w\otimes w^{-1}(v)t_w^{-1}.x+\sum_{w\in W}(-1)^{l(w)}\sum_{\alpha>0,w(\alpha)>0}k_\alpha\langle w^{-1}(v),\alpha^\vee\rangle t_w\otimes t_{s_\alpha}t_w^{-1}.x\\
&=-v.\chi(x)
\end{aligned}$$

This proves (2). □

Lemma 6.4. *With the notations in Section 6.3,* $\ker\pi_0=\operatorname{im}\chi$.

Proof. This is [19, Lemma 1]. Note that if the element of the form $\sum_{w\in W}a_wt_w\otimes(t_w^{-1}.x)$ is in $\ker\pi_0$, then $a_{ws}=-a_w$ for all $w\in W$ and all simple reflections $s\in W$. Hence $\sum_{w\in W}a_wt_w\otimes(t_w^{-1}.x)=a_1\chi(x)$. We refer the reader for the detail in [19, Lemma 1]. □

Let $\mathrm{G}(\mathbb{H})$ be the Grothendieck group of finite-dimensional $\mathbb{H}$-modules. For a finite-dimensional $\mathbb{H}$-module X, denote by $[X]$ the image of X in $\mathrm{G}(\mathbb{H})$. Define the Aubert-type involution $\widetilde{\mathbb{D}}:\mathrm{G}(\mathbb{H})\to\mathrm{G}(\mathbb{H})$ as:

$$\widetilde{\mathbb{D}}([X])=\sum_{J\subset\Pi}(-1)^{|J|}[\mathrm{Ind}_{\mathbb{H}_J}^{\mathbb{H}}\mathrm{Res}_{\mathbb{H}_J}X].$$

Theorem 6.5. *Let X be an irreducible $\mathbb{H}$-module. Then $[\mathbb{D}(X)]=\widetilde{\mathbb{D}}([X])$.*

Proof. The proof is similar to the one of [19, Theorems 1 and 2] for Hecke algebras. By Proposition 6.2, $\widetilde{\mathbb{D}}([X]) = [\ker \pi_0]$, where π_0 is defined as above or as in Section 6.3. By Lemma 6.4 and Lemma 6.3, we have $\ker \pi_0 \cong \mathbb{D}(X)$. Hence we obtain the statement. □

7. Extensions of discrete series

In this section, we assume R spans V. Let $n = \dim V = |\Pi|$. This assumption is more convenient for the discussion of discrete series and it should not be hard to formulate results without this assumption.

This section is to compute extensions of discrete series by using the resolution (6.2) and the duality of Theorem 4.15.

7.1. **Extensions of discrete series.** We first state an algebraic definition of a tempered module and a discrete series, following [15] and [25]. Since V admits a natural real form, we can talk about the real part of a $S(V)$-weight of an $\mathbb{H}$-module.

Definition 7.1. An $\mathbb{H}$-module X is said to be a *tempered module* if the real part of any $S(V)$-weight γ of X has the form:

$$\mathrm{Re}\gamma = \sum_{\alpha\in\Pi} a_\alpha \alpha^\vee, \quad a_\alpha \leq 0. \tag{7.25}$$

Equivalently, X is a tempered module if and only if $\langle \omega_{\alpha^\vee}, \mathrm{Re}\gamma\rangle \leq 0$ for all $\alpha \in \Pi$ and for all weight γ of X. Here $\omega_{\alpha^\vee}$ is the fundamental weight corresponding to $\alpha^\vee$ i.e. $\langle \omega_{\alpha^\vee}, \beta^\vee\rangle = 0$ for $\beta \in \Pi \setminus \{\alpha\}$ and $\langle \omega_{\alpha^\vee}, \alpha^\vee\rangle = 1$

An $\mathbb{H}$-module is said to be a *discrete series* if all the inequalities in (7.25) are strict. In particular, any discrete series is tempered.

Remark 7.2. For the real-valued and equal parameters k, discrete series and tempered modules naturally come from the corresponding notion of p-adic groups and affine Hecke algebras. They play important roles for the Langlands classification of simple modules, which is also valid for arbitrary parameters. In other words, tempered modules form the basic building blocks for simple modules of graded Hecke algebras for arbitrary parameters (see [25, Section 2] for the details). However, the classification for tempered modules for non-real-valued and unequal parameters seems to be less studied.

An important ingredient for computing extensions between a tempered module and a discrete series is the following vanishing result.

Lemma 7.3. *Let X_1 be an irreducible tempered module and let X_2 be an irreducible discrete series. Let J be a proper subset of Π. Then*

$$\mathrm{Ext}^i_{\mathbb{H}}(\mathrm{Ind}^{\mathbb{H}}_{\mathbb{H}_J}\mathrm{Res}_{\mathbb{H}_J} X_1, \mathbb{D}(X_2)) = 0$$

for all i.

Proof. Pick $\beta \in \Pi \setminus J$. Set $\omega = \omega_{\beta^\vee}$. Then for any weight γ_1 of X_1, $\langle \omega, \mathrm{Re}\gamma_1\rangle \leq 0$ and so $\mathrm{Re}\gamma_1$ is of the form $\sum_{\alpha\in\Pi\setminus\{\beta\}} a_\alpha \alpha^\vee + a_\beta \omega^\vee$, where $a_\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, $a_\beta \leq 0$ and $\omega^\vee$ is the fundamental coweight corresponding to β. For any weight γ_2 of $\mathbb{D}(X_2)$, $\langle \omega, \mathrm{Re}\gamma_2\rangle > 0$ and

so $\mathrm{Re}\gamma_2$ is of the form $\sum_{\alpha\in\Pi\setminus\{\beta\}} b_\alpha\alpha^\vee + b_\beta\omega^\vee$, where $b_\alpha\in\mathbb{R}$ and $b_\beta>0$. Hence γ_1 and γ_2 cannot be W_J conjugate to each other. Thus $\mathrm{Res}_{\mathbb{H}_J}X_1$ and $\mathrm{Res}_{\mathbb{H}_J}\mathbb{D}(X_2)$ have distinct $\mathbb{H}_J$-central characters. Hence, by Proposition 2.2, for all i,

$$\mathrm{Ext}^i_{\mathbb{H}_J}(\mathrm{Res}_{\mathbb{H}_J}X_1, \mathrm{Res}_{\mathbb{H}_J}\mathbb{D}(X_2)) = 0.$$

Then by Frobenius reciprocity, we obtain the statement. □

Theorem 7.4. *Let $\mathbb{H}$ be the graded affine Hecke algebra associated to a root datum $(R, V, R^\vee, V^\vee, \Pi)$ and a parameter function $k:\Pi\to\mathbb{C}$ (Definition 2.1). Assume R spans V. Let X_1 be an irreducible tempered module and let X_2 be an irreducible discrete series. Then*

$$\mathrm{Ext}^i_{\mathbb{H}}(X_1,X_2)\cong\begin{cases}\mathbb{C} & \text{if } X_1\cong X_2 \text{ and } i=0\\ 0 & \text{otherwise .}\end{cases}$$

Proof. By using (6.24), Lemma 6.3, Lemma 6.4 and Proposition 6.2, we have a resolution for X_1 of the following form:

$$0\to\mathbb{D}(X_1)\to\bigoplus_{|J|=0}\bigoplus_J \mathrm{Ind}^{\mathbb{H}}_{\mathbb{H}_J}\mathrm{Res}_{\mathbb{H}_J}X_1\to\ldots\to\bigoplus_{|J|=n-1}\bigoplus_J \mathrm{Ind}^{\mathbb{H}}_{\mathbb{H}_J}\mathrm{Res}_{\mathbb{H}_J}X_1\to X_1\to 0$$

Taking an injective resolution for $\mathbb{D}(X_2)$,

$$0\to\mathbb{D}(X_2)\to I_0\to I_1\to\ldots,$$

we obtain a double complex $C_{p,q}$ such that

$$C_{p,q}=\begin{cases}\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{H}}\left(\bigoplus_{|J|=n-1-p}\bigoplus_J \mathrm{Ind}^{\mathbb{H}}_{\mathbb{H}_J}\mathrm{Res}_{\mathbb{H}_J}X_1, I_q\right) & \text{if } 0\le p\le n-1 \text{ and } 0\le q\\ \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{H}}(\mathbb{D}(X_1), I_q) & \text{if } p=n \text{ and } 0\le q\\ 0 & \text{otherwise}\end{cases},$$

By considering two spectral sequences associated to the double complex (see e.g. [42, Chapter 5.6]), we obtain a spectral sequence with the E_1 terms

$$E_1^{p,q}=\begin{cases}\mathrm{Ext}^q_{\mathbb{H}}\left(\bigoplus_{|J|=n-1-p}\bigoplus_J \mathrm{Ind}^{\mathbb{H}}_{\mathbb{H}_J}\mathrm{Res}_{\mathbb{H}_J}X_1, \mathbb{D}(X_2)\right) & \text{if } 0\le p\le n-1 \text{ and } 0\le q\\ \mathrm{Ext}^q_{\mathbb{H}}(\mathbb{D}(X_1),\mathbb{D}(X_2)) & \text{if } p=n \text{ and } 0\le q\\ 0 & \text{otherwise}\end{cases},$$

and $E_1^{p,q}\Rightarrow\mathrm{Ext}^{p+q}_{\mathbb{H}}(X_1,\mathbb{D}(X_2))$.

Now by Lemma 7.3, we have $\mathrm{Ext}^i_{\mathbb{H}}(X_1,\mathbb{D}(X_2))=0$ for $i\le n-1$. By Theorem 4.15, Proposition 4.10 and Definition 4.18, we have $\mathrm{Ext}^i_{\mathbb{H}}(X_1,X_2)=0$ for all $i\ge 1$. For $i=0$, we have $\mathrm{Ext}^i_{\mathbb{H}}(X_1,X_2)=\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{H}}(X_1,X_2)$ and then the statement follows from Schur's Lemma. □

8. Euler-Poincaré pairing

8.1. **Euler-Poincaré pairing.** We keep using the notation of a graded affine Hecke algebra in Definition 2.1. (In this section, R does not necessarily span V.) Define the Euler-Poincaré pairing for finite-dimensional $\mathbb{H}$-modules X and Y as:

$$\mathrm{EP}_{\mathbb{H}}(X,Y)=\sum_i(-1)^i\dim\mathrm{Ext}^i_{\mathbb{H}}(X,Y).$$

By Corollary 3.8, $\mathrm{EP}_{\mathbb{H}}$ is well-defined. This pairing can be realized as an inner product on a certain elliptic space for $\mathbb{H}$-modules analogous to the one in p-adic reductive groups in the sense of Schneider-Stuhler [37].

The elliptic pairing $\langle , \rangle_W^{\mathrm{ellip},V}$ for W-representations U and U' is defined as

$$\langle U, U' \rangle_W^{\mathrm{ellip}} = \frac{1}{|W|} \sum_{w \in W} \mathrm{tr}_U(w) \overline{\mathrm{tr}_{U'}(w)} \det_V(1-w).$$

Proposition 8.1. *For any finite-dimensional $\mathbb{H}$-modules X and Y,*

$$\mathrm{EP}_{\mathbb{H}}(X,Y) = \langle \mathrm{Res}_W(X), \mathrm{Res}_W(Y) \rangle_W^{\mathrm{ellip}}.$$

In particular, the Euler-Poincaré pairing depends only on the W-module structure of X and Y.

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{EP}_{\mathbb{H}}(X,Y) \\
= \; & \sum_i (-1)^i \dim \mathrm{Ext}^i_{\mathbb{H}}(X,Y) \\
= \; & \sum_i (-1)^i (\ker d_i^\vee - \mathrm{im}\, d_{i-1}^\vee) \quad \text{(by Proposition 3.7)} \\
= \; & \sum_i (-1)^i \dim \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}[W]}(\mathrm{Res}_W(X) \otimes \wedge^i V, \mathrm{Res}_W(Y)) \quad \text{(by Euler-Poincaré principle)} \\
= \; & \sum_{w \in W} \mathrm{tr}_{\mathrm{Res}_W X}(w) \overline{\mathrm{tr}_{\mathrm{Res}_W Y}(w)} \mathrm{tr}_{\wedge^\pm V}(w) \\
= \; & \langle \mathrm{Res}_W(X), \mathrm{Res}_W(Y) \rangle_W^{\mathrm{ellip}}
\end{aligned}$$

Here $\wedge^\pm V = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} (-1)^i \wedge^i V$ as a virtual representation. The last equality follows from $\mathrm{tr}_{\wedge^i V}(w) = \det(1-w)$ and definitions. □

8.2. **Applications.** We give two applications of the Euler-Poincaré pairing in this section.

An element $w \in W$ is said to be *elliptic* if $\det_V(1-w) \neq 0$. A conjugacy class of W is said to be elliptic if any element in the conjugacy class is elliptic. The first application is to give an upper bound for the number irreducible discrete series. The proof is very similar to the one for affine Hecke algebra by Opdam-Solleveld [32, Proposition 3.9] and we omit the details.

Corollary 8.2. *Let $\mathbb{H}$ be the graded affine Hecke algebra associated to a root datum and an arbitrary parameter function. The number of irreducible $\mathbb{H}$-discrete series is less than or equal to the number of elliptic conjugacy classes of W. In particular, there is only finite number of irreducible $\mathbb{H}$-discrete series (up to isomorphism).*

In particular, for type H_3, using the analysis in [24, Section 7], there are four discrete series of a regular central character. Corollary 8.2 then implies those four discrete series account for all the discrete series.

The second application concerns the duals of discrete series. For real parameter functions, it is even known that those discrete series are even $*$-unitary (by some analytic results in affine Hecke algebras, see [40, Theorem 7.2]).

Corollary 8.3. *Let $\mathbb{H}$ be the graded affine Hecke algebra associated to a root datum and an arbitrary parameter function. Let X be an irreducible $\mathbb{H}$-discrete series. Then*

(1) *X, $X^\bullet$, X^* and $\theta(X)$ are isomorphic,*
(2) *Let $W\gamma$ be the central character of X. Then $W\theta(\gamma) = W\gamma$.*

Proof. Since X and X^* have the same W-module structure, by Proposition 8.1 we have

$$\mathrm{EP}_{\mathbb{H}}(X, X^*) = \mathrm{EP}_{\mathbb{H}}(X, X) = 1.$$

The second equality follows from Theorem 7.4. Since X^* is also a discrete series (by Lemma 4.5), Theorem 7.4 forces $X^* \cong X$. The assertion for $X^\bullet$ and $\theta(X)$ in (1) can be proven similarly. For (2), the central character of $\theta(X)$ is $W\theta(\gamma)$. Then (2) follows from $X \cong \theta(X)$ in (1). □

Remark 8.4. One more application is to give a closed W-character formula for discrete series in non-crystallographic types and complex parameter cases as the one in [13]. Our results of Theorem 7.4 and Proposition 8.1 replace [13, Lemma 3.4] and then the argument in the proof of [13, Theorem 3.5] applies.

References

[1] J. Adams, M. van Leeuwen, P. Trapa and D. Vogan, *Unitary representations of real reductive groups*, arXiv:1212.2192.
[2] J. Adler and D. Prasad, *Extensions of representations of p-adic groups*, Nagoya Math. J. **208** (2012), 171-199.
[3] A. Aubert, Dualité dans le groupe de Grothendieck de la categorie des représentations lisses de longueur finie d'un groupe reductif p-adique, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society **347** (1995), no. 6, 2179-2189.
[4] D. Barbasch and D. Ciubotaru, *Hermitian forms for affine Hecke algebras*, arXiv:1312.3316v1 [math.RT].
[5] D. Barbasch and D. Ciubotaru, *Star operations for affine Hecke algebras*, arXiv:1504.04361 [math.RT].
[6] D. Barbasch, D. Ciubotaru and P. Trapa, *Dirac cohomology for graded affine Hecke algebras*, Acta. Math. **209** (2) (2012), 197-227.
[7] D. Barbasch and A. Moy, *A unitarity criterion for p-adic groups*, Invent. Math. **98** (1989), 19-37.
[8] D. Barbasch and A. Moy, *Reduction to real infinitesimal character in affine Hecke algebras*, Jour. of Amer. Math. Soc. (1993), 611-635.
[9] A. Borel and N. Wallach, *Continuous cohomology, discrete subgroups, and representations of reductive groups*, **94** (1980), Princeton University Press (Princeton, NJ).
[10] K. Y. Chan, *Extensions of graded affine Hecke algebra modules*, PhD thesis, University of Utah, 2014.
[11] K. Y. Chan, *First extensions and filtrations of standard modules for graded Hecke algebras*, preprint, arXiv:1510.05410 (2015).
[12] D. Ciubotaru and S. Kato, *Tempered modules in exotic Deligne-Langlands correspondence*, Adv. Math. **226** (2011) no. 2, 1538-1590.
[13] D. Ciubotaru and P. Trapa, *Characters of Springer representations on elliptic classes*, Duke Math. J. (2) **162** (2013), 201-223.
[14] V.G. Drinfeld, Degenerate affine Hecke algebras and Yangians, Funct. Anal. Appl. **20** (1986) 58-60.
[15] S. Evens, *The Langlands classification for graded Hecke algebras*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 124 (1996), no. 4, 1285-1290.
[16] S. Evens and I. Mirković, *Fourier transform and the Iwahori-Matsumoto involution*, Duke Math. J. **86** (1997), no. 3, 435-464.
[17] J. S. Huang and P. Pandžić, *Dirac operators in representation theory*, Mathematics: Theory & applications (2006), Birkhäuser.

[18] J. Humphreys, *Reflection groups and Coxeter groups*, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1990.
[19] S.-I. Kato, *Duality for representations of a Hecke algebra*, Proceedings of Amer. Math. Soc. **119** (3), 941-946.
[20] S. Kato, *An exotic Deligne-Langlands correspondence for symplectic groups*, Duke Math. J. 148 (2009), 305-371.
[21] S. Kato, *A homological study of Green polynomials*, Ann. Sci. l'École Norm. Sup. **48**, no. 5 (2015), 1035-1074.
[22] A. Knapp, *Lie groups, Lie algebras and cohomology*, Mathematical Notes 34, Princetion University Pres, 1988.
[23] D. Kazhdan and G. Lusztig, *Proof for the Deligne-Langlands conjecture for Hecke algebras*, *Invent. Math.*, **87** (1987), 153-215.
[24] C. Kriloff, *Some interesting nonspherical tempered representations of graded Hecke algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **351** (1999), 4411-4428.
[25] C. Kriloff, A. Ram, *Representations of graded Hecke algebras*, Represent. Theory **6** (2002), 31-69.
[26] G. Lusztig, *Affine Hecke algebras and their graded versions*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **2** (1989), 599-635.
[27] S. MacLane, *Homology*, Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften **114**, Springer-Verlag, 1975.
[28] R. Meyer, *Homological algebra for Schwartz algebras of reductive p-adic groups*, Noncommutative geometry and number theory, Aspects of Mathematics E37 (2006), Vieweg Verlag, Wiesbaden, 263-300.
[29] M. Nazarov, *Young's symmetrizers for projective representations of the symmetric group*, Adv. Math. **127** (1997), no. 2, 190-257.
[30] M. Reeder, *Euler-Poincaré pairings and elliptic representations of Weyl groups and p-adic groups*, Compositio Math. **129** (2001), 149-181.
[31] E. M. Opdam, *Harmonic analysis for certain representations of graded Hecke algebras*, Acta. Math. **175** (1995), no. 1, 75-121.
[32] E. M. Opdam and M. Solleveld, *Homological algebra for affine Hecke algebras*, Adv. in Math. **220** (2009), 1549-1601.
[33] E. M. Opdam and M. Solleveld, *Discrete series characters for affine Hecke algebras and their formal degrees*, Acta Mathematica. **205** (2010), 105-187.
[34] E. M. Opdam and M. Solleveld, *Extensions of tempered representations*, Geometric And Functional Analysis **23** (2013), 664-714.
[35] S. Orlik, *On extensions of generalized Steinberg representations*, J. Algebra **293** (2005), no. 2, 611-630.
[36] D. Prasad, *Ext-analogues of branching laws*, preprint arXiv:1306.2729v1.
[37] P. Schneider and U. Stuhler, *Representation theory and sheaves on the Bruhat-Tits building*, Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Etudes Sci. **85** (1997), 97-191.
[38] A. Shepler and S. Witherspoon, *Hochschild cohomology and graded Hecke algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 360 (2008), no. 8, 3975-4005.
[39] K. Slooten, *Generalized Springer correspondence and Green function for type B/C graded Hecke algebras*, Adv. in Math. **203** (2006), 34-108.
[40] M. Solleveld, *Parabolically induced representations of graded Hecke algebras*, Algebras and Representation Theory **152** (2012), 233-271.
[41] M. F. Vignéras, *Extensions between irreducible representations of p-adic GL(n)*, Pacific J. of Maths, **181** (3) (1997), 349-357.
[42] C. Weibel, *An introduction to homological algebra*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics 38, Cambridge University Press (1994).
[43] W. L. Yee, *Signatures of invariant Hermitian forms on irreducible highest-weight modules*, Duke Math. J. **142** (2008), no. 1, 165-196.

Korteweg-de Vries Institute for Mathematics, Universiteit van Amsterdam
E-mail address: K.Y.Chan@uva.nl